

## Table 5.1: Characteristics of NoLap patients

	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Total patients analysed	943	100
<b>Age group</b>		
18 - 29	<10	<1.0
30 - 39	<10	<1.0
40 - 49	14	1.5
50 - 59	48	5.1
60 - 69	96	10.2
70 - 79	237	25.1
80 - 89	363	38.5
90 +	178	18.9
Patients aged 65+	837	88.8
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	390	41.4
Female	553	58.6
<b>Risk status*</b>		
High-risk	924	98
Low risk	19	2
<b>Bowel pathology</b>		
Bowel perforation	459	48.7
Bowel ischaemia	330	35.0
Bowel perforation and ischaemia	58	6.2
Not stated	96	10.2
<b>Type of admission</b>		
Elective	11	1.2
Emergency	932	98.8
<b>Admitting specialty</b>		
General surgery	662	70.2
General medicine	192	20.4

	Number (n)	Percentage (%)
Total patients analysed	943	100
<b>Age group</b>		
18 - 29	<10	<1.0
30 - 39	<10	<1.0
40 - 49	14	1.5
50 - 59	48	5.1
60 - 69	96	10.2
70 - 79	237	25.1
80 - 89	363	38.5
Other	41	4.3
Elderly Care	26	2.8
Oncology	14	1.5
Gastroenterology	<10	<1.0
Gynaecology (including Gynae-Oncology)	<10	<1.0

\*Risk status is defined by either a NELA Parsimonious risk score (PRS) of 5% or greater, patients living with frailty- defined by a Rockwood Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS) of 5 or more Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS) or indicated from clinical assessment based on other conditions not included in PRS.