

Chapter 17

Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services (GPAS) Guidelines for the provision of Anaesthesia Services for Burn and Plastics Surgery

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) accredited the GPAS content development process as a mark of quality between 2016 and 2024 before closing its accreditation programme in July 2024

Authors

Dr Bruce Emerson Consultant Anaesthetist St Andrew's Regional Burns and Plastics Unit Broomfield Hospital

Dr Tomasz Torlinski Consultant Anaesthetist University Hospitals Birmingham NHS FT Dr Jamie Yarwood Consultant Anaesthetist Mid Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust

Chapter Development Group members

Mr Peter Drew Clinical Director Welsh Centre for Burns Dr Tony Fletcher Consultant Anaesthetist British Burns Association

Mr Jorge Leon Villapalos Consultant Plastic Surgeon British Association of Plastic, reconstructive and aesthetic surgeons (BAPRAS)

Acknowledgements

Peer reviewers

Dr Brendan Sloan Consultant Anaesthetist Mid Yorkshire Hospitals

Chapter development technical team

Dr Rachel Evley Senior Research Fellow University of Nottingham

Ms Ruth Nichols Royal College of Anaesthetists Ms Stephanie James Royal College of Anaesthetists

Mr Owen Waite Royal College of Anaesthetists

Declarations of interest

All chapter development group (CDG) members, stakeholders and external peer reviewers were asked to declare any pecuniary or non-pecuniary conflict of interest, in line with the guidelines for the provision of anaesthetic services (GPAS) conflict of interest policy as described in the GPAS chapter development process document.

The nature of the involvement in all declarations made was not determined as being a risk to the transparency or impartiality of the chapter development. Where a member was conflicted in relation to a particular piece of evidence, they were asked to declare this and then, if necessary, remove themselves from the discussion of that particular piece of evidence and any recommendation pertaining to it.

Medicolegal implications of GPAS guidelines

GPAS guidelines are not intended to be construed or to serve as a standard of clinical care. Standards of care are determined based on all clinical data available for an individual case and are subject to change as scientific knowledge and technology advance and patterns of care evolve. Adherence to guideline recommendations will not ensure successful outcome in every case, nor should they be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care aimed at the same results. The ultimate judgement must be made by the appropriate healthcare professional(s) responsible for clinical decisions regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan. This judgement should only be arrived at following discussion of the options with the patient, covering the diagnostic and treatment choices available. It is advised, however, that significant departures from the national guideline or any local guidelines derived from it should be fully documented in the patient's case notes at the time the relevant decision is taken.

Promoting equality and addressing health inequalities

The Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA) is committed to promoting equality and addressing health inequalities. Throughout the development of these guidelines we have:

- given due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, to advance equality of opportunity, and to foster good relations between people who share a relevant Protected Characteristic (as defined in the Equality Act 2010) and those who do not share it
- given regard to the need to reduce inequalities between patients in access to, and outcomes from healthcare services and to ensure services are provided in an integrated way where this might reduce health inequalities.

GPAS Guidelines in context

The GPAS documents should be viewed as 'living documents'. The GPAS guidelines development, implementation and review should be seen not as a linear process, but as a cycle of interdependent activities. These in turn are part of a range of activities to translate evidence into practice, set standards and promote clinical excellence in patient care.

Each of the GPAS chapters should be seen as independent but interlinked documents. Guidelines on the general provision of anaesthetic services are detailed in the following chapters:

- chapter 1: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services: The Good department
- <u>chapter 2: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for the Perioperative Care of</u> <u>Elective and Urgent Care Patients</u>.

These guidelines apply to all patients who require anaesthesia or sedation, and are under the care of an anaesthetist. For urgent or immediate emergency interventions, this guidance may need to be modified as described in <u>chapter 5: guidelines for the provision of emergency anaesthesia</u>.

The rest of the chapters of GPAS apply only to the population groups and settings outlined in the 'Scope' section of these chapters. They outline guidance that is additional, different or particularly important to those population groups and settings included in the 'Scope'. Unless otherwise stated within the chapter, the recommendations outlined in chapters 1–5 still apply.

Each chapter will undergo yearly review, and will be continuously updated in the light of new evidence.

Guidelines alone will not result in better treatment and care for patients. Local and national implementation is crucial for changes in practice necessary for improvements in treatment and patient care.

Aims and objectives

The objective of this chapter is to promote current best practice for service provision in anaesthesia for burn and plastic surgery. This guidance is intended for use by anaesthetists with responsibilities for service delivery and healthcare managers.

This guideline does not comprehensively describe clinical best practice in burn and plastic surgery anaesthesia but is primarily concerned with the requirements for the provision of a safe, effective and well led service, which may be delivered by many different acceptable models. The guidance on provision of anaesthesia for burn injured patients applies to all burn care services (facility, unit and centre) unless otherwise stated. The guidance on provision of anaesthesia for plastic surgery applies to all settings where this is undertaken, regardless of funding. All age groups, from neonates to the elderly, are included within the guidance unless otherwise stated, reflecting the broad nature of this service.

A wide range of evidence has been rigorously reviewed during the production of this chapter, including recommendations from peer-reviewed publications and national guidelines where available. However, both the authors and the chapter development group (CDG) agreed that there is a paucity of level 1 evidence relating to service provision in burn and plastic surgery anaesthesia. In some cases, it has been necessary to include recommendations of good practice based on the clinical experience of the CDG. We hope that this document will act as a stimulus to future research.

The recommendations in this chapter will support the RCoA Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation (ACSA) process.

Scope

Objective

To provide and describe current best practice in the provision of anaesthesia services within burn and plastic surgery and/or burn and plastic surgery interventions supported by evidence and national recommendations where available, for anaesthetists with responsibilities for service delivery and healthcare managers.

Target population

Groups that are covered:

- all ages of patients undergoing elective or emergency anaesthesia for burns that meet the thresholds for referral to specialised burn care services.¹
- all ages of patients undergoing elective or emergency anaesthesia for plastic surgery procedures
- all ages of patients undergoing elective anaesthesia for cosmetic surgery
- anaesthetic departments that treat patients in the above group
- anaesthetists working with patients in the above group.

Groups that are not covered:

• provision of burn and plastic services provided by a specialty other than anaesthesia.

Healthcare setting

All settings in which anaesthesia services are provided to patients for burn or plastic surgery and/or interventions.

Clinical management

Key issues that will be covered:

• key components needed to ensure provision of high quality anaesthesia services for patients requiring burn and plastic surgery procedures which involve anaesthetists.

Areas of provision included:

- levels of provision of service, including (but not restricted to) staffing, equipment, support services and facilities
- areas of special requirement, such as critical care, resuscitation, paediatrics, interventional radiology, endoscopy, satellite sites and the emergency department
- training and education
- research and audit
- organisation and administration
- patient Information.

Issues that are not covered:

- clinical guidelines specifying how healthcare professionals should care for people
- national level issues.

A note on cosmetic/aesthetic surgery

The Royal College of Surgeons categorises cosmetic treatments as follows:

- level 1a: invasive medium to high risk; may require general anaesthesia; may require an overnight stay
- level 1b: invasive low to medium risk, usually only requires local anaesthesia, outpatient
- level 2: minimally invasive lower risk, usually non-permanent/reversible, day case, local anaesthesia if any.

Anaesthetists only provide services for level 1a or level 1b procedures.

Anaesthesia services provided for any surgery/intervention should adhere to the guidance on the general provision of anaesthesia services, which is detailed in Chapter 2² as described above.

This guidance applies to all patients who require anaesthesia or sedation and are under the care of an anaesthetist, regardless of the funding model of the setting.

Anaesthesia services provided for head and neck surgery, for any purpose, should adhere to <u>Chapter 12: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for ENT, Oral Maxillofacial and Dental Surgery.</u>³

Introduction

The range of procedures requiring anaesthesia for burn and plastic surgery is wide and includes patients of all ages. Procedures range from common minor injuries (dog bites, nail bed injuries) to planned congenital cleft lip and palate and hand procedures, and less frequently major burns and free-flap surgeries requiring multidisciplinary perioperative critical care. The recommendations in

this chapter should be read in conjunction with those for general surgery, outlined in <u>Chapter 2</u>, which, unless otherwise stated, still apply.

Burns

Approximately 140,000 patients sustain burn injuries each year, with approximately 10% requiring admission to hospital, of whom 50% are children. Burn care is stratified with four operational delivery networks in England and Wales and one in Scotland. Services are tiered for children and adults, following nationally agreed referral criteria, with referral to burn facilities, burn units or burn centres depending on the severity and complexity of the injury and locality.¹ The burn care standards were revised in 2018 to allow services to be peer reviewed against agreed benchmarks for specialist infrastructure and staff.⁴ The recommendations in this chapter apply to all tiers of burn care services, unless otherwise stated within individual recommendations.

Anaesthetists who care for burn patients should be part of a multidisciplinary team and actively partaking in collective decision making, inputting into ward management (including dressing changes and analgesia), critical care, and multidisciplinary team meetings.

Understanding the complexity of surgery for major burns is vital. This includes the need to be prepared for massive blood loss, difficulties with monitoring and venous access, management of heat loss, prevention of thromboembolic events, and sepsis; as well as complex analgesia requirements and an understanding of the impact on the patient and their family, and the need for continuing care for many years ahead. Anaesthesia services are not confined to provision of care in a theatre or critical care environment. Provision of remote analgesia, sedation and anaesthesia comes with its own potential difficulties regarding monitoring and access to anaesthetic equipment. Services need to be able to provide care to meet standards for the admission to theatre of a patient with a major burn with little notice. Repeat and prolonged surgery for major burns is likely to continue for many weeks to months, with an impact on facilities, staff and equipment. Age appropriate services for anaesthesia and critical care must meet national and RCoA standards.

Plastic surgery

Plastic surgery describes a reconstructive procedure designed to restore form and function to the body. It covers all aspects of wound healing and reconstruction after congenital, acquired (including secondary to cancer) or traumatic tissue defects. Other common conditions that can require plastic surgery include reconstruction of large skin defects, pressure sores and other chronic wounds, venous and other leg ulcers, and the results of devastating infections. Clinicians anaesthetising for plastic surgery procedures need an understanding of the principles of free-flap surgery. Age appropriate staff and facilities are required for complex surgery for congenital conditions, including cleft palate, congenital hand deformities and trauma procedures. Prolonged procedures are common and require attention to detail regarding positioning, fluid management, blood flow and prevention of thromboembolic complications.

Aesthetic surgery

Aesthetic surgery is surgery performed solely to change a person's appearance. Where a patient is receiving anaesthesia or sedation and is under the care of an anaesthetist for this type of surgery, the recommendations in this chapter and those relating to all types of surgery in Chapter 2 still apply, regardless of the funding model of the setting.

Recommendations

The grade of evidence and the overall strength of each recommendation are tabulated in <u>Appendix 1</u>. These recommendations should be read in conjunction with GPAS chapters 7, 10, 12, and 16, together with the guidelines for the provision of intensive care services and the Paediatric

Intensive Care Society's Quality Standards for the Care of Critically III Children, as there is considerable overlap.^{5,6,7,8,9,10}

1 Staffing requirements

- 1.1 An appropriately trained and experienced anaesthetist with regular commitments to burn and plastic surgery should be present during the conduct of general and regional anaesthesia for operative procedures, including those procedures requiring intravenous sedation where it has been agreed that this will be provided by the anaesthesia department.
- 1.2 An anaesthetist should be physically present when a general anaesthetic is administered. In exceptional circumstances, anaesthetists working singlehandedly may be called on briefly to assist with or perform a lifesaving procedure nearby. This is a matter for individual judgement, and a dedicated anaesthesia assistant should be present to monitor the unattended patient.¹¹
- 1.3 A clinical lead for burn and plastic surgery anaesthesia should be appointed in each hospital providing anaesthesia for this specialty. The clinical lead (see <u>Glossary</u>) anaesthetist in burn and plastic surgery units will be responsible for the provision of service, teaching, production of guidelines, management, research and audit, and should be able to support quality improvement initiatives. Sufficient time should be included in job plans to support these activities and continuing professional development (CPD) for those anaesthetists.
- 1.4 Anaesthetists should always be supported by dedicated, appropriately skilled and trained assistants. Recovery facilities should be staffed during all operating hours and should have appropriate anaesthesia support until the patient meets agreed discharge criteria.¹²
- 1.5 There should be adequate numbers of competent medical and non-medical staff to provide 24/7 cover for emergency burn and plastics anaesthesia.¹³
- 1.6 Where a paediatric service is being provided, all medical and non-medical staff, including recovery room staff, should have relevant and recent training in paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation.^{4,14,15}
- 1.7 There should be specific consultant or autonomously practising anaesthetist programmed activity time for burn anaesthesia in hospitals where burn surgery is undertaken.⁴
- 1.8 An appropriately skilled or experienced stage 2 or above resident anaesthetist should be available immediately at all times. Appropriately experienced staff grade, associate specialist and specialty (SAS) doctors and on-call consultants or autonomously practising anaesthetists should also be available within 30 minutes. Where paediatric services are provided, consultant or autonomously practising paediatric anaesthetists should be available.⁴
- 1.9 There should be sufficient programmed activity time available for anaesthetists to assess patients perioperatively and to attend multidisciplinary ward rounds.
- 1.10 There should be sufficient programmed activity time to provide support to sedation and analgesia services for burn patients.

2 Equipment, services and facilities

General equipment, services and facilities for anaesthesia are described in Chapter 2.² Additional specialised recommendations for burn and plastic surgery anaesthesia are given below.

Equipment

2.1 Appropriate equipment should be available to enable prone positioning of patients.^{16,17}

Airway and ventilation

Burns and plastic surgery patients have a higher incidence of difficult airway.

- 2.2 A difficult airway trolley, including the equipment necessary for failed intubation and surgical airway access, should be available.¹⁸ Appropriate specialist intubation equipment, including fibreoptic intubation equipment, should be available.¹⁹ A fibreoptic scope should be available to assess inhalational injury.^{20,21,22,23,24,25}
- 2.3 Equipment necessary for the formation of a surgical airway, including front of neck access should be available.^{26,27}
- 2.4 Ventilators with advanced ventilatory mode functions should be available.²⁸
- 2.5 Burns anaesthetists should have access to and knowledge of nasendoscopy.

Monitoring

Physiological monitoring can be difficult in patients with major burns, as there may be a lack of sites available for probes, cuffs and electrodes.^{20,29}

- 2.6 Equipment to comply with the Association of Anaesthetists standards for anaesthetic monitoring should be available.¹¹
- 2.7 Pulse oximetry ear probes should be available.^{29,30}
- 2.8 It may be difficult to make the ECG gel electrodes adhere to damaged skin. ECG electrodes could be sited away from the chest,²⁹ or could be attached to crocodile clips, surgical staples or steel sutures placed in burned areas.^{20,24,31}
- 2.9 Invasive arterial blood pressure monitoring should be available for extensive burn debridement and major plastic surgery, to allow the benefits of continuous pressure monitoring, pulse contour analysis and ease of blood sampling.^{20,23,24,31}
- 2.10 An arterial blood gas machine should be immediately available.
- 2.11 Equipment for central venous pressure, core and peripheral temperature and urinary output monitoring should be available.^{32,33}
- 2.12 Equipment to measure carbon monoxide levels in blood should be available.²⁰

Equipment for delivery of anaesthesia services outside the operating room

- 2.13 Many burn injured patients require frequent sedation or anaesthesia for procedures outside the operating theatre. These procedures should take place in a specified location that is provided with all the equipment required for the safe delivery of anaesthesia and to meet minimum monitoring standards.^{5,11,34}
- 2.14 Equipment, such as television screens and tablet computers, for distraction during painful procedures, including dressing changes, should be considered.^{35,36,37}

Equipment for temperature management

Intraoperative hypothermia poses a significant risk to patients undergoing both burns and plastic surgery. The combination of lengthy procedures, large exposed body areas, administration of intravenous fluids, together with a low ambient temperature, may lead to marked intraoperative hypothermia. The impact and consequences of hypothermia are serious and deleterious in this patient group, with worse overall outcomes following burns and plastic surgery. Specifically, effects can include cardiac events and impairment of coagulation resulting in increased blood loss. There

is also an association with higher incidence of surgical wound infections and prolonged hospital stay, all of which may lead to higher costs associated with surgery.

It has also been observed that warmed patients have lower intraoperative analgesic requirements and shorter recovery times.³⁸

- 2.15 Core and peripheral temperature monitoring equipment should be available and should be easily accessible.^{20,24,31}
- 2.16 Active warming equipment should be available and easily accessible, including warmed blankets for body areas not being operated on, forced air warming devices for heating mattresses.^{20,24,31,33,39}
- 2.17 Consideration should also be given to the provision of radiant heaters and more sophisticated warming devices.²⁰
- 2.18 Warmed intravenous fluids should be available.^{20,33}

Thromboprophylaxis

Burn patients and patients undergoing prolonged plastic surgery are at particular risk of venous thromboembolism (VTE0).⁴⁰

2.19 For burn and plastic surgery patients, mechanical methods of VTE prophylaxis, including graduated compression stockings, intermittent pneumatic compression devices and venous foot pumps should be available for any procedure that lasts more than one hour, and for all patients receiving general anaesthesia.^{33,41}

Blood transfusion

Debridement of major burns has the potential for significant blood loss.

- 2.20 Equipment for blood transfusion should be available, including rapid transfusion devices.
- 2.21 Point of care testing for coagulation and haemoglobin, including thromboelastometry, could be considered to allow targeted use of blood products in major surgery for burns.^{42,43}
- 2.22 For burns procedures where significant fluid loss is anticipated, blood and blood products should be immediately available.^{4,20,23,24}
- 2.23 Advice from a haematologist should be available at all times.

Services

- 2.24 Psychology and physiotherapy should be available to help in managing the consequences of complex repetitive anaesthesia and the sedation and analgesia requirements of burn injured patients.^{4,44}
- 2.25 There should be access to an acute pain service.^{4,29,45,46}
- 2.26 There should be adequate, age appropriate critical care facilities, including high dependency and critical care units fulfilling national standards, to allow the timely admission of patients who require these services following surgery, including those with resuscitation burns and those undergoing free-flap surgery. 4.9.32.47,48

Facilities

- 2.27 Burn care services should have access to an appropriately sized, temperature and humidity controlled theatre at all times, with a maximum temperature setting of at least 30 degrees Celsius.^{5,24}
- 2.28 A burns theatre should be located in reasonable proximity to any service providing critical care for burn patients.⁴
- 2.29 A dedicated burns theatre should be adequately stocked and resourced. Theatre anaesthetic equipment and transport monitoring should be compatible with that used in the critical care rooms. Single use patient items are preferred, and protocol based cleaning is needed between cases.²⁰
- 2.30 Anaesthesia led sedation for dressing changes should take place in rooms equipped with monitoring, piped medical gases, scavenging (where needed), suction, means of ventilation and drug infusion pumps.⁴⁹
- 2.31 Access to a high dependency unit for patients undergoing reconstructive surgery should be available.⁵⁰

3 Areas of special requirement

Children

General recommendations for the provision of anaesthesia services for children are described in <u>Chapter 10</u>.³

- 3.1 Wherever children and young people undergo anaesthesia, their particular needs should be recognised. They should be managed in age appropriate facilities and should be looked after by staff with relevant experience and continuing training.⁵
- 3.2 Children with burns should be cared for in burn services in accordance with the National Burns Care Referral Guidance and with staff and facilities according to the burn care standards.⁴
- 3.3 Anaesthetists caring for paediatric burns patients should be trained in paediatric multimodal pain management.⁵¹
- 3.4 Children requiring surgery for cleft lip and palate should be treated by a specialist cleft service.
- 3.5 Wherever sedation services for paediatric burn management exist, anaesthetists should be involved with setting up, monitoring and auditing the service.
- 3.6 Anaesthetists who prescribe sedation for paediatric burn patients should have received appropriate training. ^{15, 52}
- 3.7 Anaesthetists who prescribe oral sedation for paediatric burn patients do not need to be physically present for the procedure for which sedation is being prescribed but they, or other suitably trained and experienced staff, need to be available to return immediately if the need arises.⁵³
- 3.8 General anaesthesia may be more appropriate than sedation for an individual. If general anaesthesia is performed in non-theatre environments, the recommendations in Chapter 7 should be followed.⁵

3.9 Children undergoing anaesthesia and their families should be offered input from play specialists to help prepare the child for anaesthesia.⁴

Child protection

It is essential to exclude non-accidental injury in children with burn injuries.

- 3.10 Healthcare workers, including the anaesthetist, must be aware of the local policy for child protection. They have an obligation to document and report any concerns to a responsible individual.⁵⁴
- 3.11 Hospitals must have guidelines in place to ensure the safety of children admitted to hospital, monitor injured children known to be at risk and identify concerns arising from any injury or pattern of injuries.^{29,55} They must provide the appropriate training related to these guidelines.

Critical care

Major burn injuries and complex plastic surgery cases often require critical care services. Recommendations for the provision of such services are described in guidelines for the provision of intensive care services.⁹

3.12 Staffing models should promote shared care between burn and critical care teams, as this may improve safety.⁵⁶

Procedural sedation

Dressing changes, with or without showering or bathing, are a frequent accompaniment to the early phase of burn treatment. Where possible, these procedures are conducted without general anaesthesia.

3.13 Any sedation service should be age appropriate, with general anaesthesia an option available for some cases.29,49,53,57,58

4 Training and education

Different levels of training and continuing education are required, depending on the level of service provision provided by hospitals.

- 4.1 Patients requiring burn or plastic surgery procedures should be managed by anaesthetists who have an appropriate level of training in this field. They should have a regular commitment to the burn and plastic surgery specialty and should have acquired the relevant knowledge and skills needed to care for these patients.¹⁹
- 4.2 To maintain the necessary repertoire of skills, anaesthetists providing a burn and plastic surgery anaesthesia service should have a regular commitment to the specialty, and adequate time must be made for them to participate in a range of relevant CPD activities.
- 4.3 Because burn care is a small specialty with relatively few anaesthetists able to participate in burn care during training, each service should have plans in place to establish and maintain an appropriate anaesthesia workforce.⁵⁹
- 4.4 A small number of centres perform burn surgery. These centres should offer external training opportunities for anaesthetists, nursing staff, physiotherapists and other members of the multidisciplinary team.⁶⁰
- 4.5 Anaesthetists who provide emergency care outside burn services should be trained in the initial management of the patient with severe burns, including timely emergency assessment, resuscitation and transfer to a burns service.⁶¹

5 Organisation and administration

Burns

Requirements for links to other departments

Teams rather than individuals deliver care of the burn injured patient. Effective teamwork can increase safety, while poor teamwork can have the opposite effect. It is therefore important that burn services anaesthetists develop good working relationships and lines of communication with other healthcare professionals involved in the care of patients with burns.⁶²

5.1 The anaesthetist should be part of a burns multidisciplinary team.⁴

Organisation of lists

- 5.2 Burn surgery operating lists should be scheduled in working hours.⁶³
- 5.3 Additional burn surgery operating lists may be planned at weekends and bank holidays to prevent unnecessary delays in treatment.⁶³
- 5.4 Any scheduled burn lists should be organised and staffed by appropriately trained anaesthetists and surgeons who work regularly in that area and who have no conflicting clinical commitments.⁶³
- 5.5 Patients requiring planned or emergency burn surgery should be cared for by theatre staff with current experience in burn care.⁴ Anaesthetists who provide emergency care outside burn services should be trained to manage the initial treatment of the patient with severe burns, including timely emergency assessment, resuscitation and transfer to a burns service.
- 5.6 Theatre and recovery staffing arrangements should be compliant with national guidelines.^{11,12,64}
- 5.7 Safe sedation and analgesia for burn injured patients undergoing painful procedures outside of the operating theatre environment should be available, for example staple removal, wound dressing and showering.^{5,11,49,65}
- 5.8 A nurse-led sedation service should be supported by an appropriately trained and experienced anaesthetist at all times.⁵³

Contingency plans for urgent procedures

- 5.9 Timely access to theatre staff with experience in burn care should be available outside of normal working hours in burn centres and units.⁴
- 5.10 Theatre teams should be informed whenever a major burn case is expected or has arrived. A member of the theatre team should be responsible for ensuring the availability of appropriately trained staff and facilities.⁴
- 5.11 All specialist burn services should participate in major incident planning with national and regional networks.⁴
- 5.12 Providers of emergency care outside burn services should have the knowledge and equipment needed to treat burn-injured patients should there be an extended delay in transporting the patients to a burn centre, as might be the case in a mass casualty incident.⁶⁶
- 5.13 Transfer of the critically ill burn-injured patient between services should follow national guidelines.^{67,68,69}
- 5.14 Burn service leaders should actively engage in local and regional surge in demand planning.

- 5.15 Each burn service should prepare to provide mutual aid to other burn services who may be overwhelmed.
- 5.16 Early communication between service leads is vital.⁷⁰

Policies

- 5.17 Agreed local clinical guidelines should be in use that have been produced by an appropriately constituted multiprofessional team, comprising anaesthetists, specialist nurses, surgeons, critical care clinicians, pharmacists, specialty consultants or autonomously practising anaesthetist and managers. These guidelines should cover at least the following:
 - assessment and management of pain and pruritus, including the recording of pain and itch scores^{13,71}
 - sedation for painful procedures⁴
 - initial assessment and management of burn-injured patients⁴
 - recognition and management of the acutely unwell and deteriorating patient, including the need to escalate care and transfer to a higher level of care⁴
 - assessment and management of burns to the face and airway⁴
 - local clinical guidelines on the analgesia and sedation for the use of enzymatic debridement of burn injuries
 - transfer policy, including the resources required⁴
 - all trusts with an emergency department should have a plan for the management of major incidents involving burn-injured patients that makes reference to the national burn major incident plan^{4,72,73}
 - management of multi-drug resistant infections
 - perioperative temperature control^{20,74}
 - thromboprophylaxis
 - major haemorrhage and transfusion policy⁴²
 - provision of sedation and anaesthesia outside the operating theatre environment 65
 - a lipid rescue protocol should be in place where local anaesthetic infiltration is used.75

Plastic surgery

Organisation of lists

- 5.18 Elective plastic surgery operating lists should be separated from those for plastic surgery trauma to allow efficient planning in advance for elective cases, to prevent cancellation of elective cases and to allow a flexible response to emergencies.⁶³
- 5.19 Hospitals should provide scheduled local anaesthesia lists, using a dedicated area for initiating and assessing local nerve blocks. Organising cases in this way fosters the development and maintenance of expertise in the anaesthetists and support staff and minimises delay between cases.
- 5.20 For planned burn and plastic surgery, there should be a preoperative assessment clinic organised as described in <u>GPAS Chapter 2</u>: <u>Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia</u> <u>Services for the Perioperative Care of Elective and Urgent Care Patients.</u>²
- 5.21 There should be specific guidelines for assessing a suspected difficult airway, for example in patients with head and neck malignancy and in reconstructive burn surgery.⁷⁶

- 5.22 Where major elective reconstructive surgery requiring postoperative critical care provision is undertaken, the funding for and provision of these beds should be planned to meet the demands of the service so that cancellations can be minimised.
- 5.23 All major head and neck surgery should be overseen by a named consultant or autonomously practising anaesthetist with a subspecialty interest in this area.⁷⁷
- 5.24 There should be funding for and provision of staff trained in postoperative monitoring of free tissue transfers and replanted tissues to reduce the incidence of flap failure.^{47,78}
- 5.25 When very long surgical procedures are scheduled on a regular basis, appropriate funding and resources should be in place to support lists of long duration.

Contingency plans for urgent procedures

- 5.26 Planned acute plastic surgery trauma lists should take place daily in working hours to prevent unnecessary overnight operating.⁶³
- 5.27 Patients should not unnecessarily undergo surgery at night. To prevent this, planned operating lists may be necessary in the evening and weekend, in addition to scheduled weekday trauma sessions.^{63,79}
- 5.28 Any scheduled plastic surgery trauma lists should be organised and staffed by senior anaesthetists and surgeons, working regularly in that area and without conflicting clinical commitments.⁶³
- 5.29 Departments should develop and regularly review burn and plastic surgery referral guidelines and major incident plans.⁶⁶

Policies

- 5.30 Agreed local clinical guidelines should be in use, produced by an appropriately constituted multiprofessional team comprising anaesthetists, specialist nurses, surgeons, critical care clinicians, pharmacists, specialty consultants or autonomously practising anaesthetists and managers. These guidelines should cover at least the following:
 - airway management, including follow-up for difficult situations (both plastic surgery and burn reconstructive surgery)⁷⁶
 - monitoring of free flaps⁴⁷
 - monitoring of local anaesthetic blocks
 - thromboprophylaxis⁴¹
 - perioperative warming.41

6 Financial considerations

The costs of burn care are high, owing to the combination of specialised treatment and the often long lengths of stay.⁸⁰ Part of the methodology used in this chapter is a consideration of the financial impact for each of the recommendations made. Very few of the literature sources referenced have included financial analysis.

The majority of the recommendations are not new but are a synthesis of pre-existing work. Current compliance rates with the recommendations are unknown, so it is not possible to calculate the financial impact of their implementation in future practice. It is impossible to make an overall assessment of the financial impact of these recommendations with the currently available information.

7 Research, audit and quality improvement

- 7.1 Anaesthesia for burn and plastic surgery should be included in regular anaesthesia department mortality and morbidity meetings, audit meetings and quality improvement programmes. Burns services should actively participate in the network and in national mortality meetings.
- 7.2 Multidisciplinary audit meetings involving surgical teams should be encouraged where mortality and morbidity should be discussed alongside all serious untoward incidents related to the service.
- 7.3 Anaesthesia departments should be integrated into the overall clinical audit and governance structure of the hospital. Each anaesthesia department undertaking anaesthesia for burn and plastic surgery should have a system in place for the routine audit of important areas such as:
 - perioperative temperature
 - optimisation of perioperative blood transfusions⁴²
 - management of perioperative pain and quality of analgesia⁸¹
 - management of perioperative blood pressure control in plastic surgery⁸²
 - management of post-burn pruritus.83
- 7.4 Burn services should undergo regular peer reviews within the national burn care network.⁴
- 7.5 Departments of anaesthesia should be encouraged to develop local key quality indicators relevant to their activity, which will assist in the process of supporting quality improvement.⁴
- 7.6 Research in anaesthesia for burn and plastic surgery should be encouraged. Staff members undertaking research should have received appropriate training.⁸⁴

8 Implementation support

The Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation (ACSA) scheme, run by the RCoA, provides a set of standards based on the recommendations contained in the GPAS chapters. As part of the scheme, departments of anaesthesia self-assess against the standards and undertake quality improvement projects to close the gap. Support is provided by the RCoA in the form of the good practice library, which shares documents and ideas from other departments on how to meet the standards. Further advice can be obtained from the ACSA team and department's assigned College guide.

The ACSA standards are regularly reviewed on at least a three yearly basis to ensure that they reflect current GPAS recommendations and good practice. This feedback process works both ways and the ACSA scheme regularly provides CDGs with comments on the GPAS recommendations, based on departments' experience of implementing the recommendations.

Further information about the ACSA scheme can be found here: <u>https://www.rcoa.ac.uk/safety-standards-quality/anaesthesia-clinical-services-accreditation</u>

9 Patient information

The RCoA has developed a range of <u>Trusted Information Creator Kitemark</u> accredited patient information resources that can be accessed from our <u>website</u>. Our main leaflets are now translated into more than 20 languages, including Welsh.

Patients with difficult airways

9.1 When an awake fibreoptic intubation is required, patients should be informed. As part of a difficult airway follow-up, patients should be informed verbally and in writing about any airway problem the anaesthetist encountered, and should be advised to bring this issue to the attention of anaesthetists during any future preoperative assessment. The patient's general practitioner should also be informed in writing.⁸⁵

Regional anaesthesia

9.2 Where alternative techniques are available, the patient's preference must be fully taken into account.⁸⁶

Consent

- 9.3 Anaesthetic risks must be communicated appropriately to the patient as part of the consent process.⁸⁶ The RCoA series of leaflets on the risks of anaesthesia could aid this discussion.⁸⁷
- 9.4 There is a high incidence of 'awareness' under sedation and subsequent post-traumatic stress. The anaesthetist should obtain informed consent from the patent before any sedation is administered. This includes using descriptions of levels of sedation from the patient's perspective.⁸⁸

Areas for future development

Topics in anaesthesia for burn and plastic surgery in need of further research include:

- adjuncts to pain control in burn-injured patients³⁵
- effective treatments for post-burn pruritus^{83,89}
- core burn outcomes for research
- fluid management
- use of technology, such as telemedicine, to help burns assessment
- financial implications of anaesthesia for burn and plastic surgery.

Glossary

Clinical lead SAS doctors undertaking lead roles should be autonomously practising doctors who have competence, experience and communication skills in the specialist area equivalent to consultant or autonomously practising anaesthetist colleagues. They should usually have experience in teaching and education relevant to the role and they should participate in quality improvement and CPD activities. Individuals should be fully supported by their clinical director and be provided with adequate time and resources to allow them to effectively undertake the lead role.

References

- 1 National Network for Burn Care. National Burn Care Referral Guidance. London, 2012 (bit.ly/3FWqcU7)
- 2 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Chapter 2: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for the Perioperative Care of Elective and Urgent Care Patients. London: RCoA, 2021 (https://rcoa.ac.uk/apas/chapter-2)
- 3 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Chapter 12: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for ENT, Oral Maxillofacial and Dental Surgery. London, 2022 (<u>https://rcoa.ac.uk/gpas/chapter-12</u>)

- 4 British Burn Association. National Standards for Provision and Outcomes in Adult and Paediatric Burn Care, 2018 (<u>bit.ly/35uw3jL</u>)
- 5 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Chapter 7: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services in the Nontheatre Environment. London, 2023 (<u>https://rcoa.ac.uk/gpas/chapter-7</u>)
- 6 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Chapter 10: Guidelines for the Provision of Paediatric Anaesthesia Services. London, 2023 (<u>https://rcoa.ac.uk/gpas/chapter-10</u>)
- 7 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Chapter 12: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for ENT, Oral Maxillofacial and Dental surgery. London, 2022 (<u>https://rcoa.ac.uk/gpas/chapter-12</u>)
- 8 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Chapter 16: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery. London, 2023 (<u>https://rcoa.ac.uk/gpas/chapter-16</u>)
- 9 Guidelines for the Provision of Intensive Care Services. FICM and ICS, 2019 (bit.ly/3q9TMzh)
- 10 Quality Standards for the care of critically ill children. PICS, 2015 (bit.ly/2kVJOig)
- 11 Association of Anesthetists, Recommendations for standards of monitoring during anaesthesia and recovery 2021 (<u>bit.ly/3hFf`EQu</u>)
- 12 The Anaesthesia Team. AAGBI, 2018 (bit.ly/3gDrSHv)
- 13 Squires, SJ. Organization of burns services. Curr Anaesth & Criti Care 2002; 13: 83-6
- 14 CCT curriculum: paediatric competencies. RCoA, 2010 (bit.ly/11YmiMd)
- 15 CCT curriculum: RCoA, 2021 (bit.ly/3G60MYw)
- 16 Chui J, Craen RA. An update on the prone position: continuing professional development. Can J Anesth 2016; 63: 737-67
- 17 O'Connor D, Radcliffe J. Patient positioning in anaesthesia. Anaesth & Inten Care. 2015; 16: 543-7
- 18 Setting up a Difficult Airway Trolley (DAT). DAS (bit.ly/1nJbXu0)
- 19 McCauley P, Moore M, Duggan E. Anaesthesia for reconstructive free flap surgery for head and neck cancer. British Journal of Hospital Medicine. 2022; 83 1-9
- 20 Langley K, Sim K. Anaesthesia for patients with burn injuries. Curr Anaesth & Crit Care 2002; 13: 70-5
- 21 Hilton P, Hepp M. The immediate care of the burned patient. BJA CEPD Reviews 2001; 1: 113-6
- 22 Oscier C, Emerson B, Handy JM. New perspectives on airway management in acutely burned patients. Anaesth 2014; 69: 105-10
- 23 MacLennan N, Heimbach DM, Cullen BF. Anesthesia for major thermal injury. Anesthesiol 1998; 89: 749-70
- 24 Black R, Kinsella J. Anaesthetic management for burns patients. BJA CEPD Reviews 2001; 1: 177-80
- 25 Mercer SJ, Jones CP, Bridge M, Clitheroe E, Morton B, Groom P. Systematic review of the anaesthetic management of non-iatrogenic acute adult airway trauma. *Br J Anaesth*. 2016; 117 Suppl 1:i49-i59. doi: 10.1093/bja/aew193
- 26 Guidelines for management of unanticipated difficult intubation in adults 2015. DAS, 2015 (bit.ly/1TZpsBl)
- 27 Higgs A, McGrath BA, Goddard C, Rangasami J, Suntharalingam G, Gale R, Cook TM; Difficult Airway Society; Intensive Care Society; Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine; Royal College of Anaesthetists. Guidelines for the management of tracheal intubation in critically ill adults. *Br J Anaesth*. 2018; 120: 323-52
- 28 Walia G, Jada G, Cartotto R. Anesthesia and intraoperative high-frequency oscillatory ventilation during burn surgery. J Burn Care & Res 2011; 32: 118-23
- 29 Fenlon S, Nene S. Burns in Children. CEACCP 2007; 7: 76-80
- 30 NHS Improvement. Patient Safety Alert. Risk of Harm from Inappropriate Placement of Pulse Oximeter Probes. NHS/PSA/W/2018/009. London, 2018 (<u>bit.ly/3wGNSr4</u>)
- 31 Bishop S, Maguire S. Anaesthesia and intensive care for major burns. CEACCP 2012; 12: 118-22
- 32 Quinlan J, Lodi O. Anaesthesia for reconstructive surgery. Anaesth & Inten Care 2009; 10: 26-31
- 33 Adams J, Charlton P. Anaesthesia for microvascular free tissue transfer. BJA CEPD Reviews 2003; 2: 33-7
- 34 Melloni C. Anesthesia and sedation outside the operating room: how to prevent risk and maintain good quality. *Curr Op Anaesthesiol* 2007; 20: 513-9

- 35 Hoffman HG, Chambers GT, Meyer WJ 3rd et al. Virtual reality as an adjunctive non-pharmacologic analgesic for acute burn pain during medical procedures. Annals of Behavioural Medicine 2011; 41: 183-91
- 36 Brown NJ, Rodger S, Ware RS, Kimble RM, Cuttle L. Efficacy of a children's procedural preparation and distraction device on healing in acute burn wound care procedures: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2012; 12: 238
- 37 Mott J, Bucolo S, Cuttle L *et al*. The efficacy of an augmented virtual reality system to alleviate pain in children undergoing burns dressing changes: a randomised controlled trial. *Burns* 2008; 34: 803-8
- 38 Lista F, Doherty CD, Backstein RM, Ahmad J. The impact of perioperative warming in an outpatient aesthetic surgery setting. Aesthetic Surg J 2012; 32: 613-20
- 39 Hypothermia: prevention and management in adults having surgery. NICE, 2008 (bit.ly/2kRDRGZ)
- 40 Mlodinow AS, Khavanin N, Ver Halen JP, Rambachan A, Gutowski KA, Kim JY. Increased anaesthesia duration increases venous thromboembolism risk in plastic surgery: A 6-year analysis of over 19,000 cases using the NSQIP dataset. J Plast Surg Hand Surg. 2015; 49:191-7
- 41 Young VL, Watson ME. Patient safety: the need for venous thromboembolism (VTE) prophylaxis in plastic surgery. Aesthetic Surg J 2006; 26: 157-75
- 42 Schaden E, Kimberger O, Kraincuk P, Baron DM, Metnitz PG, Kozek-Langenecker S. Perioperative treatment algorithm for bleeding burn patients reduces allogeneic blood product requirements. *BJA* 2012; 109: 376-81
- 43 Marsden NJ, Van M, Dean S, Azzopardi EA, Hemington-Gorse S, Evans PA, Whitaker IS. Measuring coagulation in burns: an evidence-based systematic review. *Scars Burn Heal* 2017; 5; 3: 2059513117728201. doi: 10.1177/2059513117728201
- 44 National Burn Care Review Committee. Standards and Strategy for Burn Care, 2001 (bit.ly/2nijgyF)
- 45 Stoddard FJ, Sheridan RL, Saxe GN et al. Treatment of pain in acutely burned children. J Burn Care Rehabil 2002; 23: 135-6
- 46 Ratcliff SL, Brown A, Rosenberg L *et al*. The effectiveness of a pain and anxiety protocol to treat the acute pediatric burn patient. *Burns* 2006; 32: 554-62
- 47 Mak HCY, Irwin MG. Anaesthesia for plastic and reconstructive surgery. Anaesth & Inten Care Med 2015; 16: 136-9
- 48 Legrand M, Barraud D, Constant I et al. Management of severe thermal burns in the acute phase in adults and children. Anaesth Crit Care Pain Med 2020; 39: 253-67
- 49 Myers R, Lozenski J, Wyatt M et al. Sedation and Analgesia for Dressing Change: A Survey of American Burn Association Burn Centers. J Burn Care Res 2017; 38: e48-e54
- 50 Las DE, de Jong T, Zuidam JM, Verweij NM, Hovius SE, Mureau MA. Identification of independent risk factors for flap failure: a retrospective analysis of 1530 free flaps for breast, head and neck extremity reconstruction. *J Plastic, Reconst & Aesthetic Surg* 2016; 69: 894-906
- 51 Pardesi O, Fuzaylov G. Pain Management in Pediatric Burn Patients: Review of Recent Literature and Future Directions. *J Burn Care Res* 2017; 38: 335-47
- 52 Curriculum for a CCT in anaesthetics. RCoA, 2010 (bit.ly/11YmiMd)
- 53 O'Hara D, Ganeshalingam K, Gerrish H, Richardson P. A 2-year experience of nurse led conscious sedation in paediatric burns. *Burns* 2014; 40: 48-53
- 54 Protecting children and young people: the responsibilities of all doctors. GMC, 2018 (bit.ly/3xIRmKK)
- 55 Children Act 1989. HMSO (bit.ly/1QoOeXt)
- 56 Fahy BG et al. Extinguishing the flames of harm: changing the staffing module. Anesth & Analg 2014; 118(5 Suppl1): s225
- 57 Campbell K, Torres L, Stayer S. Anesthesia and sedation outside the operating room. Anesthesiol 2014; 32: 25-43
- 58 Safe Sedation Practice for Healthcare Procedures: Standards and Guidance: An update. AoMRC, 2021 (bit.ly/35HRXAf)

- 59 Carey C. Securing the future anaesthetic workforce. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2018; 32: 25-37
- 60 Plastic surgery and burns training: content and minimum caseload. ABRA, 2013 (bit.ly/208GO9d)
- 61 Emergency Management of the Severe Burn Course. BBA (bit.ly/2kqY9X6)
- 62 Al-Mousawi A M, Mecott-Rivera GA, Jeschke MG, Herndon DN. The importance of a comprehensive team approach to burn care. *Clin Plast Surg* 2009; 36: 547-54
- 63 Emergency surgery: standards for unscheduled care. RCS Eng, 2011 (bit.ly/2kwTbui)
- 64 AAGBI Safety Guideline: Checking Anaesthetic Equipment. AAGBI, 2012 (bit.ly/3HcbxWe)
- 65 Gregoretti C, Decaroli D, Piacevoli Q et al. Analgo-sedation of patients with burns outside the operating room. Drugs 2008; 68: 2427-43
- 66 Joho BS, Lozano D, Pagella P, Wargo M, Amani H. Burn disaster-management planning: a preparedness tool kit. J Burn Care & Res 2014; 35: e205-16
- 67 AAGBI Safety Guideline: Interhospital transfer. AAGBI, 2009 (bit.ly/3KPTGas)
- 68 Guidelines for the transport of the critically ill adult. ICS, 2011 (bit.ly/32HKKSU)
- 69 Quality standards for the care of critically ill children. PICS, 2015 (bit.ly/2kVJOig)
- 70 Barret JP, Chong SJ, Depetris N, et al. Burn center function during the COVID-19 pandemic: An international multi-center report of strategy and experience. Burns. 2020; 46: 1021-35
- 71 Outcome measures for adult and paediatric services (second edition). BBA, 2016 (bit.ly/20eVzaA)
- 72 Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR), NHS England, 2019 (bit.ly/3IOII9h)
- 73 Concept of Operations for the management of mass casualties: Burns annex (bit.ly/3s09e29)
- 74 Remington L, Cochran A. Successful implementation of a perioperative temperature management protocol. Journal of Burn Care & Research 2018; 39: S37
- 75 Musielak M, Mccall J. Lipid rescue in a pediatric burn patient. J Burn Care Res 2016; 37: e380-2
- 76 NAP4 The 4th National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society: Major complications of airway management in the United Kingdom. RCoA and DAS, 2011 (bit.ly/3lLsalR)
- 77 British Association of Head and Neck Oncologists standards 2009. BAHNO, 2009 (bit.ly/2RigBON)
- 78 Oncoplastic breast reconstruction: guidelines for best practice. ABS and BAPRAS, 2012 (bit.ly/2081Whd)
- 79 Schoenbrunner AR, Janis JE. Pain Management in Plastic Surgery. Clin Plast Surg 2020;47: 191-201
- 80 Hop M. Polinder S. Cost of burn care: a systematic review. Wound Repair and Regeneration 2014; 22: 436-50
- 81 Richardson P, Mustard L. The management of pain in the burns unit. Burns 2009; 35: 921-36
- 82 Trussler AP, Tabbal GN. Patient safety in plastic surgery. Plastic & Reconstr Surg 2012; 130: 470-8e
- 83 Brooks JP, Malic CC, Judkins KC. Scratching the surface managing the itch associated with burns: a review of current knowledge. *Burns* 2008; 34: 751-60
- 84 Research governance framework for health and social care. DH, 2005 (bit.ly/1ckDS6r)
- 85 Difficult intubation guidelines. DAS, 2015 (bit.ly/1TZpsBl)
- 86 Consent: patients and doctors making decisions together. GMC, 2008 (bit.ly/1vhqnlp)
- 87 The Risks of Anaesthesia. RCoA, 2017 (bit.ly/RCoA-Risk)
- 88 NAP5: Accidental Awareness During General Anaesthesia in the UK and Ireland. RCoA and DAS, 2014 (bit.ly/2TUh1kl)
- 89 Goutos I. Neuropathic mechanisms in the pathophysiology of burns pruritus: redefining directions for therapy and research. *J Burn Care Res.* 2013; 34: 82-93

Appendix 1: Recommendations Grading

The grading system is outlined in the methodology section of this chapter. The grades for each of the recommendations in this chapter are detailed in the table below:

| Recommendation Number | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.1 | GPP | Strong |
| 1.2 | С | Strong |

| Recommendation Number | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 1.3 | GPP | Strong |
| 1.4 | С | Strong |
| 1.5 | GPP | Strong |
| 1.6 | С | Strong |
| 1.7 | С | Strong |
| 1.8 | С | Strong |
| 1.9 | GPP | Strong |
| 1.10 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.1 | С | Strong |
| 2.2 | С | Strong |
| 2.3 | С | Strong |
| 2.4 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.5 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.6 | С | Strong |
| 2.7 | С | Strong |
| 2.8 | GPP | Moderate |
| 2.9 | С | Moderate |
| 2.10 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.11 | С | Strong |
| 2.12 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.13 | С | Strong |
| 2.14 | GPP | Moderate |
| 2.15 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.16 | С | Strong |
| 2.17 | С | Strong |

| Recommendation Number | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 2.18 | С | Strong |
| 2.19 | С | Strong |
| 2.20 | С | Strong |
| 2.21 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.22 | В | Strong |
| 2.23 | С | Strong |
| 2.24 | GPP | Strong |
| 2.25 | С | Strong |
| 2.26 | С | Strong |
| 2.27 | С | Strong |
| 2.28 | С | Strong |
| 2.29 | С | Strong |
| 2.30 | С | Strong |
| 2.31 | С | Strong |
| 3.1 | С | Strong |
| 3.2 | С | Strong |
| 3.3 | С | Strong |
| 3.4 | С | Strong |
| 3.5 | GPP | Strong |
| 3.6 | GPP | Strong |
| 3.7 | С | Strong |
| 3.8 | С | Strong |
| 3.9 | С | Strong |
| 3.10 | М | Mandatory |
| 3.11 | М | Mandatory |

| Recommendation Number | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 3.12 | В | Strong |
| 3.13 | В | Strong |
| 4.1 | GPP | Strong |
| 4.2 | М | Mandatory |
| 4.3 | В | Strong |
| 4.4 | В | Strong |
| 4.5 | В | Strong |
| 5.1 | В | Strong |
| 5.2 | В | Strong |
| 5.3 | С | Strong |
| 5.4 | С | Strong |
| 5.5 | С | Strong |
| 5.6 | С | Strong |
| 5.7 | С | Strong |
| 5.8 | С | Strong |
| 5.9 | С | Strong |
| 5.10 | С | Strong |
| 5.11 | С | Strong |
| 5.12 | С | Strong |
| 5.13 | С | Strong |
| 5.14 | С | Strong |
| 5.15 | GPP | Strong |
| 5.16 | С | Strong |
| 5.17 | С | Strong |
| 5.18 | С | Strong |

| Recommendation Number | Level of Evidence | Strength of Recommendation |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 5.19 | GPP | Strong |
| 5.20 | GPP | Strong |
| 5.21 | В | Strong |
| 5.22 | GPP | Strong |
| 5.23 | С | Strong |
| 5.24 | С | Strong |
| 5.25 | GPP | Strong |
| 5.26 | С | Strong |
| 5.27 | С | Strong |
| 5.28 | С | Strong |
| 5.29 | С | Strong |
| 5.30 | С | Strong |
| 7.1 | GPP | Strong |
| 7.2 | GPP | Strong |
| 7.3 | В | Strong |
| 7.4 | С | Strong |
| 7.5 | С | Strong |
| 7.6 | С | Strong |
| 9.1 | С | Strong |
| 9.2 | М | Mandatory |
| 9.3 | М | Mandatory |
| 9.4 | В | Strong |

About these guidelines

Methodology

The process by which this chapter has been developed has been documented within the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document, which is available on request.

The evidence included in this chapter is based on a systematic search of the literature. Abstracts were independently screened by two investigators and reviewed against inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data were extracted by one investigator in accordance with predefined criteria. The review objective was to determine the key components needed to ensure provision of high-quality perioperative services for patients who have undergone surgery and/or interventions which involve anaesthesia.

Search strategy

Searches were performed on Embase (1980 to 2015), Ovid MEDLINE (1946 to present), CINAHL and Cochrane Library, for the literature search strategy, outcomes, databases, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of evidence (for the full perioperative care chapter search protocol please contact the RCoA). A hand search of the literature was also conducted by the authors using the reference lists of relevant original articles and review articles.

The literature search was performed in March 2021.

The authors and researcher independently reviewed the abstracts and titles of the studies found in the initial search. After agreement on the primary selection of papers, full-text versions were accessed and reviewed against the following predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The full-text papers were also reviewed by the CDG for suitability. The final list of publications used can be found in the references.

Inclusion criteria

The literature review considered studies that included the following patient population with all of the inclusion criteria listed below:

- all patients undergoing elective or emergency anaesthesia
- all staff groups working within perioperative care, under the responsibility of an anaesthetic clinical director, including (but not restricted to) consultant anaesthetists, SAS anaesthetists, trainee anaesthetists, nurses, operating department practitioners, surgeons, pharmacists, general practitioners, radiologists and radiographers.

Exclusion criteria

The literature review used the following exclusion criteria:

• provision of perioperative care of elective and urgent care patients service provided by a speciality other than anaesthesia.

Data extraction and analysis

Data were extracted by the authors using a proforma. The study characteristics data included:

- the journal and country of publication
- the number of patients recruited into the study
- the study design
- patient characteristics
- outcome data
- the logic of the argument
- author's conclusions
- reviewer's comments.

The patient characteristics data extracted were: age, gender and type of surgery. The analysis considers studies that included any clinical outcome, including (but not restricted to) survival, length of stay – critical care or hospital, morbidity, adverse effects and complications.

The results of the literature review can be seen below

Preferred Reporting Systems for Systematic and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) flow chart



The evidence that is included in this chapter has been graded according to a grading system adapted from NICE and outlined below:

| Level | Type of evidence | Grade | Evidence |
|-------|--|-------|---|
| la | Evidence obtained from a single large/multicentre randomised controlled trial, a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials or a | A | At least one randomised controlled trial as part of a body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing |

| | systematic review with a low risk of bias | | the specific recommendation (evidence level I) without extrapolation |
|------|---|----------|--|
| lb | Evidence obtained from meta- analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs or RCTs with a high risk of bias | B | Well-conducted clinical studies but no high-quality randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation (evidence |
| lla | Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation | | level la evidence |
| llb | Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study | | |
| llc | Evidence obtained from case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias | | |
| 111 | Evidence obtained from well- designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case studies | | |
| IV | Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities | с | Expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities (evidence level IV) or extrapolated from level I or II evidence. This grading indicates that directly applicable clinical studies of good quality are absent or not readily available. |
| UG | Legislative or statutory requirements | M | This grading indicates that implementation of this recommendation is a statutory requirement, or is required by a regulatory body (e.g. CQC, GMC) |
| | | GPP | Recommended good practice based on the clinical experience of the CDG. |
| Adap | ted from Eccles M, Mason J. How to dev | elop co: | st-conscious guidelines. Health Technology |

Adapted from Eccles M, Mason J. How to develop cost-conscious guidelines. Health Technolog Assessment 2001;5(16) and Mann T. Clinical guidelines: using clinical guidelines to improve patient care within the NHS. Department of Health, London 1996.

Strengths and limitations of body of evidence

Most of the published evidence on perioperative care anaesthesia services is descriptive. There are publications describing aspects of this process based on expert opinion.

The limitations of the evidence are:

• the 'unmeasurables' (attitudes, behaviour, motivation, leadership, teamwork)

- few randomised controlled trials (RCTs); studies frequently use mixed populations of emergency and elective patients, or all emergency patients grouped together despite different underlying diagnoses
- papers often examine a single intervention within complex system or bundle
- papers are often examining small numbers and/or patients from a single centre
- poor use of outcome measures, frequently concentrating on easily measured short-term outcomes which are not patient centred
- generally, a paucity of long-term follow up
- there is no standard definition used of 'high risk'
- use of different risk-scoring systems
- decrease in outcome over time and geography when 'good papers' are used in quality improvement programmes
- application of international studies in systems with either more or less resources than the UK into NHS practice
- older studies may no longer be applicable within the NHS
- very few studies included any analysis of financial implications
- evidence was mainly based on literature graded III and IV.

Methods used to arrive at recommendations

Recommendations were initially drafted based on the evidence by the authors for the chapter. These were discussed with the CDG, and comments were received both on the content and the practicality of the recommendations. The level of evidence that was the basis for each recommendation was graded according to a grading system, and the recommendation was then graded taking into account the strength of the evidence and the clinical importance using a recommendations criteria form.

| Strength | Type of evidence | Wording |
|--------------|--|---|
| Mandatory | The evidence supporting the recommendation includes at least one with an 'M' grading | Wording should reflect the mandatory nature of the recommendation i.e. 'must' |
| Strong | Confidence that for the vast majority of people, the action will do more good than harm (or more harm than good) | Wording should be clearly directive 'should' or 'should not' |
| Weak | The action will do more good than harm for most patients, but may include caveats on the quality or size of evidence base or patient preferences | Wording should include 'should be considered' |
| Aspirational | While there is some evidence that implementation of the recommendation could improve | Wording should include 'could' |

Recommendations were worded using the following system of categorisation:

| | patient care, either the evidence or the improvement is not proven or substantial | |
|-----------|---|---|
| Equipoise | There is no current evidence on this recommendation's effect on patient care | Wording should include 'there is no evidence of this recommendation's effect on patient care' |

Consultation

The chapter has undergone several rounds of consultation. The multidisciplinary CDG formed the first part of the consultation process. The authors and GPAS Editorial board identified key stakeholder groups. Where stakeholders are represented by an association or other medical college, they were asked to nominate delegates to join the CDG. The GPAS Chapter Development Process Document (available on request) explains the recruitment process for those CDG members who were not directly nominated. The CDG members were involved in drafting the recommendations, and were provided with an opportunity to comment on all subsequent drafts of the chapter.

The chapter underwent peer review. Peer reviewers were identified by the GPAS Editorial Board or Clinical Quality and Research Board. Nominees were either anaesthetists of consultant grade or were nominated by a key stakeholder group. Nominees had not had any involvement in the development of GPAS to date and were asked to comment upon a late draft of the chapter.

Following peer review, the chapter was reviewed by the College's Clinical Quality and Research Board and the College's PatientsVoices@RCoA Committee. Comments from all groups were considered and incorporated into a consultation draft.

The consultation draft of this chapter was circulated for public consultation from 17 November 2021 to 15 December 2021. As well as being made available on the College's website and promoted via Twitter and the President's newsletter to members, the draft was also circulated to all key stakeholder groups identified by the authors and the College. A list of organisations contacted by the College is available from the GPAS team at the College: <u>GPAS@rcoa.ac.uk</u>.

The editorial independence of GPAS

The development of GPAS is wholly funded by the Royal College of Anaesthetists. However, only the GPAS technical team and the GPAS researcher are paid directly by the College for their work on GPAS: the GPAS Editors' employing organisation receives 2 programmed activities (PA) backfill funding. All funding decisions by the College are made by the chief executive officer, in collaboration with the senior management team and College Council.

The authors of the chapters are all fellows of the Royal College of Anaesthetists. Members of College Council cannot act as chair of any CDG, as this individual has the deciding vote under the consensus method of decision making used in the chapters. Where College Council members have been involved in chapter development, this has been declared and recorded.

All persons involved in the development of GPAS are required to declare any pecuniary or nonpecuniary conflict of interest, in line with the GPAS conflict of interest policy as described in the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document (available on request). Any conflicts of interest are managed on a case-by-case basis to maintain the transparency and impartiality of the GPAS document. The conflicts, and the way they were managed, are outlined at the beginning of the chapter.

The role of the GPAS Editorial Board and CQRB

The overall development of the entire GPAS document is overseen by the CQRB of the Royal College of Anaesthetists, which includes representatives from all grades of anaesthetist and from clinical directors, and which also has representation from PatientsVoices@RCoA.

Responsibility for managing the scope of the document and providing clinical oversight to the project technical team is delegated by the CQRB to the GPAS Editorial Board, which includes individuals responsible for the various internal stakeholders (see above for membership). On the inclusion/exclusion of specific recommendations within each chapter, the Editorial Board can only provide advice to the authors. In the event of disagreement between the authors, the majority rules consensus method is used, with the GPAS Editor holding the deciding vote.

Both of these groups, along with PatientsVoices@RCoA committee, review each chapter and provide comment prior to public consultation and are responsible for signoff before final publication. In the event of disagreement, consensus is reached using the majority rules consensus method, with the chair of CQRB holding the deciding vote.

Updating these guidelines

This chapter will be updated for republication in January 2025.

Guidelines will be updated on an annual basis. The researcher will conduct the literature search again using the same search strategy to uncover any new evidence and members of the public will be able to submit new evidence to the GPAS project team. Where new evidence is uncovered, the lead author will decide whether the recommendations that were originally made are still valid in light of this new evidence.

If new evidence contradicts or strengthens existing recommendations, the authors decide whether or not to involve the remainder of the CDG in revising the recommendations accordingly.

If new evidence agrees with existing recommendations, then a reference may be added but no further action is required.

If there is no new evidence then no action is required.

This chapter is due to be fully reviewed for publication in January 2027.

Every five years guidance will be submitted to a full review involving reconvening the CDG (or appointment of a new, appropriately qualified CDG), and the process described in the methodology section of this chapter begins again.



Royal College of Anaesthetists, Churchill House, 35 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4SG 020 7092 1500 | www.rcoa.ac.uk/gpas | GPAS@rcoa.ac.uk

© Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA)