

Chapter 10

Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services (GPAS) Guidelines for the Provision of Paediatric Anaesthesia Services 2025

Authors

Dr Karen Bartholomew Lead Paediatric Anaesthetist Calderdale and Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust

Dr David Mason Consultant Anaesthetist John Radcliffe Hospital

Dr Judith Nolan Consultant Anaesthetist Bristol Royal Hospital for Children

Chapter Development Group members

Tina Clegg Chair Healthcare Play Specialist Education Trust

Dr Alex Day Consultant Anaesthetist Bristol Royal Hospital for Children

Robert Dudgeon PatientsVoices@RCoA Royal College of Anaesthetists

Dr Felicity Howard Lead Consultant for Paediatric Anaesthesia Noah's Ark Children's Hospital, Cardiff

Dr Hannah Lewis Anaesthetist in Training The Royal London Hospital Barts Health NHS Trust

Irene O'Donnell Board member National Association of Health Play Specialists

Dr Catherine Riley Anaesthetist in Training Sheffield Children's NHS Foundation Trust

Mr Ravi Thevasagayam Consultant Paediatric ENT Surgeon Sheffield's Children NHS Foundation Trust Dr Roger Langford Consultant Anaesthetist Royal Cornwall Hospital NHS Trust

Dr Judith Morgan Consultant Paediatric Anaesthetist Sheffield Children's Hospital

Dr Nirmala Soundararajan Consultant Anaesthetist Hull University Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust

Jennie Craske Children's Pain Management nurse Alder Hey Hospital

Mike Donnellon Chair of Education and Standards Committee College of Operating Department Practitioners

Dr Tim Geary Consultant Anaesthetist University Hospital Crosshouse, Kilmarnock

Norma Jun-Tai Vice Chair National Association of Health Play Specialists

Dr Sarah Mahoney PCCS Council Member Paediatric Critical Care Society

Sarah Parry Member of NICE committee Bristol Royal Hospital for Children

Dr John Rutherford Consultant Anaesthetist NHS Dumfries and Galloway

Dr Tom Woodward Anaesthetist in Training University Hospitals Bristol and Weston

Acknowledgements

Peer reviewers

Dr Paul McAndrew Consultant Anaesthetist South Tyneside and Sunderland NHS Foundation Trust

Chapter development technical team

Dr Rachel Evley Senior Research Fellow University of Nottingham

Ms Ruth Nichols Royal College of Anaesthetists Dr Parag Desai Consultant Anaesthetist Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust

Ms Stephanie James Royal College of Anaesthetists

Mr Owen Waite Royal College of Anaesthetists

Declarations of interest

All chapter development group (CDG) members, stakeholders and external peer reviewers were asked to declare any pecuniary or non-pecuniary conflict of interest, in line with the guidelines for the provision of anaesthetic services (GPAS) conflict of interest policy as described in the GPAS chapter development process document.

The nature of the involvement in all declarations made was not determined as being a risk to the transparency or impartiality of the chapter development. Where a member was conflicted in relation to a particular piece of evidence, they were asked to declare this and then, if necessary, remove themselves from the discussion of that particular piece of evidence and any recommendation pertaining to it.

Medicolegal implications of GPAS guidelines

GPAS guidelines are not intended to be construed or to serve as a standard of clinical care. Standards of care are determined based on all clinical data available for an individual case and are subject to change as scientific knowledge and technology advance and patterns of care evolve. Adherence to guideline recommendations will not ensure successful outcome in every case, nor should they be construed as including all proper methods of care or excluding other acceptable methods of care aimed at the same results. The ultimate judgement must be made by the appropriate healthcare professional(s) responsible for clinical decisions regarding a particular clinical procedure or treatment plan. This judgement should only be arrived at following discussion of the options with the patient, covering the diagnostic and treatment choices available. It is advised, however, that significant departures from the national guideline or any local guidelines derived from it should be fully documented in the patient's case notes at the time the relevant decision is taken.

Promoting equality and addressing health inequalities

The Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA) is committed to promoting equality and addressing health inequalities. Throughout the development of these guidelines we have:

• given due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, to advance equality of opportunity, and to foster good relations between people who share a

relevant Protected Characteristic (as defined in the Equality Act 2010) and those who do not share it

 given regard to the need to reduce inequalities between patients in access to, and outcomes from healthcare services and to ensure services are provided in an integrated way where this might reduce health inequalities.

GPAS Guidelines in context

The GPAS documents should be viewed as 'living documents'. The GPAS guidelines development, implementation and review should be seen not as a linear process, but as a cycle of interdependent activities. These in turn are part of a range of activities to translate evidence into practice, set standards and promote clinical excellence in patient care.

Each of the GPAS chapters should be seen as independent but interlinked documents. Guidelines on the general provision of anaesthetic services are detailed in the following chapters:

- chapter 1: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services: The Good department
- <u>chapter 2: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for the Perioperative Care of</u> <u>Elective and Urgent Care Patients</u>.

These guidelines apply to all patients who require anaesthesia or sedation, and are under the care of an anaesthetist. For urgent or immediate emergency interventions, this guidance may need to be modified as described in <u>chapter 5: guidelines for the provision of emergency anaesthesia</u>.

The rest of the chapters of GPAS apply only to the population groups and settings outlined in the 'Scope' section of these chapters. They outline guidance that is additional, different or particularly important to those population groups and settings included in the 'Scope'. Unless otherwise stated within the chapter, the recommendations outlined in chapters 1–5 still apply.

Each chapter will undergo yearly review, and will be continuously updated in the light of new evidence.

Guidelines alone will not result in better treatment and care for patients. Local and national implementation is crucial for changes in practice necessary for improvements in treatment and patient care.

Aims and objectives

The objective of this chapter is to promote current best practice for service provision in paediatric anaesthesia. This guidance is intended for use by anaesthetists with responsibilities for service delivery and healthcare managers and covers the patient age group of 0 to 19 years.

This Guideline does not comprehensively describe clinical best practice in paediatric anaesthesia, but is primarily concerned with the requirements for the provision of a safe, effective, well-led service, which may be delivered by many different acceptable models. The guidance on provision of paediatric anaesthesia applies to all departments who treat children and young people.

A wide range of evidence has been rigorously reviewed during the production of this chapter, including recommendations from peer reviewed publications and national guidance where available. However, both the authors and the CDG agreed that there is a paucity of level 1 evidence relating to service provision in paediatric anaesthesia. In some cases it has been necessary to include recommendations for good practice based on the clinical experience of the CDG. We hope that this document will act as a stimulus to future research.

The recommendations in this chapter will support the RCoA's Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation process.

Scope

Objective

To provide and describe current best practice in the provision of anaesthetic services within paediatric surgery and paediatric interventions for anaesthetists and healthcare managers with responsibilities for service delivery, supported by evidence and national recommendations where available.

Target population

Groups that will be covered:

- All patients less than 19 years of age undergoing elective or emergency anaesthesia.
- All anaesthetic departments providing services for infants, children and young people in the above age groups.
- All anaesthetists caring for neonates, infants, children and young people.

Groups that will not be covered:

• Provision of paediatric services by a specialty other than anaesthesia.

Healthcare setting

All settings within the hospital in which paediatric anaesthetic services are provided.

Clinical management

Key components needed to ensure provision of high quality anaesthetic services for paediatric patients requiring surgery and/or interventions which involve anaesthetists.

Areas of provision considered:

- levels of provision of service, including (but not restricted to) staffing, equipment, support services and facilities
- areas of special requirement, such as critical care, resuscitation, interventional and diagnostic radiology, radiotherapy, endoscopy, satellite sites and the emergency department
- training and education
- research and audit
- organisation and administration
- patient information
- time critical transfers and retrievals.

Issues that will not be covered

Clinical guidelines specifying how healthcare professionals should care for patients. National level issues.

Introduction

Infants, children, and young people have different requirements. There are marked developmental changes within the paediatric age range, and neonates, infants, and prepubertal children under the age of 8–12 years have particular anatomical and physiological differences. Doses of drugs

and fluids need to be precisely calculated, and anaesthetic equipment for smaller children differs from that used in older children and adults.

After puberty, anatomical and physiological characteristics approach those of adults. At all ages, children and young people have distinct emotional and social requirements.

Children and young people aged under 19 years may require anaesthesia to allow treatment for a variety of surgical conditions, much of which will be elective and relatively straightforward and which, in healthy infants and children, can usually be performed in non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres.

Infants and children may also require anaesthesia or sedation for non-surgical procedures involving radiology, cardiac catheterisation, endoscopy, joint injection, chemotherapy radiotherapy and proton beam therapy.

Children with significant acute or chronic medical problems, those undergoing complex procedures (including cardiothoracic and neurosurgery), neonates and small infants, are usually referred to specialist tertiary paediatric centres.

Non-specialist tertiary paediatric centres (see <u>Glossary</u>) are those where both adults and children receive treatment. In a non-specialist paediatric tertiary centre most of the service users are adults. Children's services may be provided in specific wards or in specific areas within the emergency department or in theatres. Not all non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres have inpatient paediatric surgical wards or access to out of hours paediatric services. Therefore, there are important differences between the recommendations for the provision of paediatric centres (see <u>Glossary</u>). Where recommendations are specific to the type of hospital these are indicated in the recommendation.

Both planned and urgent/emergency anaesthesia and surgery for children should be commissioned within the context of a network of care, with pathways of care agreed by specialist and non-specialist providers within the operating delivery network (ODN).

A multicentre observational study of severe critical events occurring during paediatric anaesthesia in 261 European hospitals, was published in 2017.¹ Sub-group analysis of the UK cohort indicated that the overall incidence of severe critical events was lower in UK patients when compared to the whole and that sicker patients tended to be cared for by more experienced teams. Whilst this may be reassuring, the study authors have identified several areas for quality improvement that are relevant to the provision of paediatric anaesthesia in the UK.²

Resuscitation services are included in this guidance, as anaesthetists play a crucial role in these services in most hospitals at present. Sedation services that are not provided by an anaesthetist are not included.

All relevant GPAS chapters include a section on the treatment of children and young people that will overlap with this document.

Recommendations

The grade of evidence and the overall strength of each recommendation are tabulated in <u>Appendix I</u>.

1 Staffing Requirements

- 1.1 Anaesthetists who care for children should have received appropriate training and must ensure that at annual appraisals competence in anaesthesia and resuscitation is deemed adequate for the cases undertaken by that individual.^{3,4}
- 1.2 An appropriately trained and experienced anaesthetist should be present throughout the conduct of anaesthesia for all procedures, including those procedures requiring intravenous sedation (where provision of this service has been agreed by the anaesthetic department). In exceptional circumstances, for example, where urgent treatment for another patient requires the anaesthetist to leave the patient, they should delegate responsibility to another appropriate person, in line with GMC guidance on delegation.^{5,6}
- 1.3 Within hospitals there should be multidisciplinary agreement on the level of anaesthetic staffing requirements and competence for the local provision of surgical services based on the clinical need, surgical and anaesthetic experience and training, children's ward facilities and paediatric medical provision. Organisations should liaise with regional ODNs to develop in partnership a framework for local hospitals to follow.
- 1.4 All patients requiring anaesthesia, pain management, or perioperative medical or intensive care should have a named and documented supervisory autonomously practising anaesthetist (see <u>Glossary</u>) who has overall responsibility for the care of the patient. To ensure the safety of patients, anaesthetists in training, staff grade, associate specialist and specialty (SAS) doctors who are not autonomously practising and anaesthesia associates should be subject to an appropriate level of supervision of all their clinical practice.⁷
- 1.5 There should be a locally agreed policy on the level of consultant supervision required, based on the age, complexity and co-morbidities of the patient.^{3,8,9}
- 1.6 In the period immediately after anaesthesia, the child should be managed in a recovery area, staffed on a one-to-one basis at least until the child can manage their own airway. The staff in this area should have paediatric experience and current paediatric competencies, including resuscitation.^{10,11} An extra member of staff in the recovery area can be extremely useful in the event of an emergency arising.
- 1.7 An additional member of staff with advanced training in life support for children should always be available to assist where required.^{12,13,14}
- 1.8 All paediatric patients undergoing anaesthesia should have immediate access to a consultant paediatrician either in person or via telephone/video call.¹⁵
- 1.9 When a child undergoes anaesthesia or an anaesthetic department provides sedation services, there should be a dedicated trained assistant (i.e. an operating department practitioner or equivalent) who has had paediatric experience and maintained their paediatric competencies.¹¹
- 1.10 In non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres (see <u>Glossary</u>), when a child undergoes anaesthesia or an anaesthetic department provides sedation services, departments should consider allocating two ODPs to a list that includes infants. This facilitates paediatric experience and maintenance of competencies within the anaesthesia team.

2 Equipment, services and facilities

Equipment

A range of monitoring devices and paediatric anaesthetic equipment should be readily available in all areas where children are anaesthetised and in recovery areas.⁶ There should be provision of a variety of distraction equipment and staff training enabled.

- 2.1 Equipment should be available and maintained that is appropriate for use in neonates, infants and children of all sizes and ages, including:
 - equipment for airway management and monitoring airway patency, including video laryngoscopy and capnography in an easily accessible location.¹⁶ A standardised paediatric difficult airway trolley should be located in areas of the hospital where paediatric airway management is required including the operating theatres, emergency department and critical care units¹⁷
 - paediatric breathing systems
 - invasive haemodynamic monitoring
 - pulse oximetry sensors and blood pressure cuffs
 - vascular access equipment, including intraosseous needles
 - devices to allow rapid and accurate fluid and drug delivery
 - equipment for warming fluids
 - patient warming devices
 - equipment for measuring patient temperature
 - total intravenous anaesthesia (TIVA) pumps with paediatric algorithms
 - ultrasound devices with a dedicated paediatric probe (for central venous and nerve identification)^{18,19}
 - equipment on the ward for recording weight and height.
- 2.2 Equipment for near patient testing of glucose, haemoglobin, blood gases and electrolytes should be readily available. In situations where major blood loss is anticipated, access to thromboelastography, blood cell salvage techniques and haematology laboratory should be considered.²⁰
- 2.3 Intravenous fluid management should conform to NICE guidelines, and appropriate equipment to deliver this safely and accurately should be available.²⁰
- 2.4 Resuscitation drugs and equipment, including an appropriate defibrillator, cuffed tracheal tubes of various sizes and a cuff pressure gauge should be readily available wherever children are anaesthetised.^{13,21,22,23}
- 2.5 There should be ventilators available that have the flexibility to be used over a wide size and age range, and that provide accurate pressure control and positive end-expiratory pressure.
- 2.6 Theatre temperature should be capable of regulation to at least 23°C, and up to 28°C where neonatal surgery is performed. There should be accurate thermostatic controls that permit rapid change in temperature.

Support services

- 2.7 All children and young people should expect to have a preassessment prior to the day of their procedure that meets the medical, physical and emotional needs of that family. <u>The Best Practice Guidance: Preassessment Services for Children undergoing Surgery or Procedures</u> describes the establishment and delivery of a paediatric preassessment service in any hospital where children aged 0-18 years of age undergo surgery or other procedures under anaesthetic, and the functions it should deliver.²⁴
- 2.8 Children undergoing anaesthesia and their families should be offered input from play specialists to help to prepare the child for anaesthesia.²⁵
- 2.9 Referral pathways should be available to a paediatric psychology service.²⁶
- 2.10 Blood transfusion and diagnostic services should meet the requirements of neonates, infants, and children. A massive transfusion protocol, including provision for children, should be in place.
- 2.11 There should be pharmacy staff available with clinical knowledge appropriate to the local paediatric case mix to provide advice on the management of drugs in children.
- 2.12 There should be awareness that the paediatric population is at greater risk of drug errors. Local systems and training in human factors should be in place to minimise and report prescription and drug administration errors.^{27,28}
- 2.13 There should be local systems in place to disseminate national safety alerts.
- 2.14 There should be access to the British National Formulary for Children online and in all areas where children are cared for..²⁹
- 2.15 There should be a fully resourced children's inpatient pain service.^{30,31} The service should be delivered by an appropriately trained and experienced multidisciplinary team (MDT), with specific skills in children's pain management. The team may include clinical nurse specialists, anaesthetists, paediatricians, surgeons, pharmacists, child psychologists and physiotherapists. In hospitals with a smaller paediatric caseload, and non-complex surgical procedures children's inpatient pain management may be provided by the adult inpatient pain service liaising with the paediatric anaesthetic team. Detailed recommendations for pain management can be found in <u>Chapter 11: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for Inpatient Pain Management.</u>
- 2.16 There should be a named paediatric pain management lead. This may be from the anaesthetic team or from an allied specialty.
- 2.17 Analgesia guidance appropriate for children should be readily available. This should include training in and the use of pain assessment using age-appropriate validated tools, prescribing of analgesics and where appropriate guidelines on the use of complex analgesic techniques such as nurse and patient controlled analgesia, epidural analgesia, peripheral nerve local anaesthetic catheters.^{31,32} Regional operational delivery networks (ODNs) can provide a useful resource for this information.
- 2.18 All specialist tertiary paediatric centres should have access to paediatric chronic pain services to assist in managing complex cases. Other centres should develop a network to provide access to paediatric chronic pain services for advice and guidance.

Facilities

- 2.19 Children should be separated from, and not managed directly alongside adults throughout the patient pathway, including reception and recovery areas. Where complete physical separation is not possible, the use of screens or curtains, whilst not ideal, may provide a solution.
- 2.20 The appearance of the anaesthetic induction and recovery areas should consider the emotional and physical needs of children.
- 2.21 Parents and carers should be allowed timely access to the recovery area or, if this is not feasible, children should be reunited with their parents or carers as soon as possible.
- 2.22 Services and facilities should take account of the specific needs of adolescents where these are different from those of children and adults.^{33,34,35,36}
- 2.23 Arrangements should be in place to enable at least one parent or carer to stay with children who require overnight admission to hospital.

3 Areas of special requirement

The recommendations for the provision of anaesthetic services to children for anaesthetic specialised practice (e.g., Neuroanaesthesia, for burns and plastics surgery, for cardiac and thoracic surgery) are detailed in the 'Areas of Special Requirement' of the relevant chapters of GPAS.

Neonates (0-28 Days)^{37,38}

Neonates presenting for anaesthesia and surgery are at high risk. They frequently have complex multisystem congenital problems requiring specialist critical care perioperatively. Anaesthesia and perioperative care in this age group requires knowledge of the particular pathophysiology of these conditions and the impact of anaesthesia on neonatal physiology.

It should be recognised that babies with congenital problems, and in particular babies who were born prematurely (i.e. before the 37th week of pregnancy) may continue to pose a high risk when undergoing anaesthesia.³⁹

- 3.1 Where separation from the parents occurs, arrangements should be in place to allow communication and visits by the parents as soon as possible.
- 3.2 The MDT involved in neonatal anaesthetic care should have appropriate experience with this age group. In most areas this will require centralisation in specialist tertiary paediatric centres (see <u>Glossary</u>) for both emergency and elective procedures.
- 3.3 The theatre should have the capacity to reach a temperature of 28°C.
- 3.4 Devices for warming the patient and fluid warming should be available.
- 3.5 Equipment suitable for this age group (e.g., pulse oximeter sensors and BP cuffs of appropriate sizes, along with equipment for managing difficult airways and difficult IV access) should be immediately available and checked.

Children with learning and/ or communication difficulties

3.6 Consideration should be given to appropriate strategies for recognising and managing anxiety of children particularly at induction, such as play specialists, counselling, psychological support and anaesthetic training around managing preoperative anxiety.³

- 3.7 Staff should take into consideration the needs of patients who have a hospital passport. A copy of the hospital passport should be kept in the patients notes and should be referred to throughout the perioperative pathway.
- 3.8 Children with learning disabilities should ideally be recovered in an area with lower levels of noise and lighting and a familiar presence, such as a parent or their carer.
- 3.9 The presence of learning disability practitioners in recovery when a patient with learning disability is being recovered should be considered.
- 3.10 Consideration should be given to reuniting patients with learning and/ or communication difficulties with their parents and/ or carers as soon as possible following a procedure.
- 3.11 Staff should liaise with a trust lead for patients with learning difficulties.⁴⁰

Paediatric trauma

Networks are now nationally agreed for trauma management in children. Anaesthetists have a key role in these teams. The recommendations on the provision of anaesthetic services for paediatric trauma can be found in the <u>Chapter 16: Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthesia Services for</u> <u>Trauma and Orthopaedic Surgery</u>.

The increased centralisation of elective surgical services for young children has reduced the proportion of staff who are confident in the emergency management of critically ill or injured children. Children and young people present at a range of hospital settings, or may deteriorate anywhere in the hospital. All staff find these situations stressful, and therefore plans and simulated MDT training for paediatric resuscitation anywhere in the hospital provide valuable learning opportunities.

3.12 Where children present with major trauma to a non-trauma centre, the guidelines for emergency resuscitation, stabilisation and transfer detailed below should apply.

The critically ill child

The general provision of services for the critically ill child within a critical care setting is not within the scope of this chapter. Further information can be found in the Paediatric Critical Care Society's 'Quality Standards for the care of critically ill children' (2021).¹³

Sick children may require short-term admission to a general critical care facility, e.g. while awaiting the arrival of the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU) retrieval team, or when only a very short period of critical care that does not necessitate transfer to a PICU is required. This is acceptable, provided there is a suitable facility within the hospital, there are staff with the appropriate competencies and the episode will last only a few hours.

- 3.13 Hospitals admitting children should be part of a fully funded critical care network.
- 3.14 Paediatric early warning scores should be used to help identify the deteriorating or critically ill child.
- 3.15 There should be local hospital protocols in place that are clear on the roles and responsibilities of the MDT in caring for the critically ill child.³⁹ Individual hospitals will have different personnel providing anaesthetic support to these teams.
- 3.16 Hospitals should have clear operational policies regarding the care of young people aged 16-18 years of age and for all babies who have been discharged from neonatal units.¹³

- 3.17 Individuals with responsibilities for paediatric resuscitation and stabilisation should fulfil the training requirements and maintain their competencies.²¹
- 3.18 Staff without recent paediatric experience or training may be able to contribute transferable skills as part of the MDT (e.g. expertise with ultrasound to assist with line placement or echocardiography skills) and such contribution should be supported by local protocols.
- 3.19 In all emergency departments receiving infants and children, neonatal and paediatric resuscitation equipment (including airway equipment), medications (including anaesthetic drugs) and fluids should be available to prepare an infant or child for transfer to the paediatric intensive care unit (PICU).⁴¹
- 3.20 There should be immediate access to protocols for management of acute life-threatening conditions. These will often be agreed with the local PICU network or paediatric intensive care transport team. Protocols should include acute respiratory, cardiovascular or neurological emergencies, trauma, poisoning and major burns.¹³
- 3.21 Hospitals without a suitable paediatric or neonatal intensive care bed should obtain the advice of the local PICU transport team as soon as possible during the management of the sick or critically injured child or young person.
- 3.22 Specialist tertiary paediatric centres with PICU facilities should provide clinical advice and help in locating a suitable PICU bed once a referral has been made.
- 3.23 Data should be collected for all referrals to PICU.
- 3.24 There should be a nominated lead consultant and nurse within general critical care units, who are responsible for the policies and procedures for babies and children when they are admitted.¹³
- 3.25 In the event of unusual circumstances (e.g. pandemic flu) adult critical care units should have a contingency plan for longer periods of paediatric critical care delivery.
- 3.26 Neonates, infants and children who are likely to require critical care following an operation should undergo their surgery in a hospital/unit with a designated PICU or NICU.^{42,43}
- 3.27 If the patient is too sick to transfer to such a hospital prior to surgery and their current hospital has surgeons capable of operating, then transfer should occur as soon after surgery as is clinically appropriate.¹³
- 3.28 Non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres should have arrangements for managing and treating simple surgical emergencies in children, such as acute appendicitis. In addition, they should be able to resuscitate and stabilise critically ill infants and children of all ages prior to transfer to a specialist centre for surgery and/or critical care.
- 3.29 In non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres that provide level 3 care for adults, children should receive level 3 care in these areas for a short period with advice from children's critical units in specialist tertiary paediatric centres or from regional transport teams.

Transfer of critically ill children

The transfer of critically ill children to specialist tertiary paediatric centres is generally undertaken by paediatric critical care transport teams.^{43,44} In some circumstances, it may be necessary for the referring hospital to provide an emergency transfer of a sick child who is intubated and ventilated. This may occur particularly in the case of a child who presents at a non-specialist paediatric tertiary centre and requires a time critical transfer e.g. for an acute neurosurgical emergency or major trauma⁴³. In these circumstances, the child will need to be accompanied by an appropriate senior

anaesthetist.⁴⁵ The usual transport team should provide advice, even where urgent transfer is undertaken by the local referring hospital.

- 3.30 There should be a designated consultant with responsibility for transfers who provides and updates a written policy for emergency transfers of critically ill children.
- 3.31 There should be portable age appropriate monitors, transfer equipment (including a portable ventilator) and drugs readily available to transfer critically ill children.
- 3.32 There should be relevant written local guidelines for transfer, with telephone numbers of the receiving unit.
- 3.33 Patients being transferred should normally be accompanied by a doctor or another healthcare professional (e.g. advanced nurse practitioner or anaesthetic practitioner with relevant competencies in the care of a critically ill child and transfer of intubated patients, including airway management skills). They should be accompanied by a suitably trained assistant.
- 3.34 Transport services should ensure that appropriate multidisciplinary arrangements are in place to review transfers and provide feedback to networked hospitals.

Day care procedures and anaesthesia

Day surgery is particularly appropriate for children provided the operation is not complex or prolonged, and the child is well, with either no comorbidity, or well-controlled comorbidity. Even children with relatively complex needs (e.g. those with cerebral palsy or cystic fibrosis) can be managed as day cases, provided they are stable with minimal cardiorespiratory problems, and the proposed surgery is unlikely to preclude same-day discharge.⁴⁶

- 3.35 The operating list order needs to take account of the needs of each child, with fasting times kept to a minimum (especially in those most at risk) and whenever possible, operations with potentially longer recovery times being scheduled earlier in the day to prevent unnecessary overnight stay.
- 3.36 Infants, children and young people should be cared for in a dedicated paediatric unit, or have specific time allocated in a mixed adult/paediatric unit, where they are separated from adult patients.
- 3.37 The lower age limit for day surgery will depend on the facilities and experience of staff and the medical condition of the infant. Significantly ex-preterm infants should generally not be considered for day surgery unless they are medically fit and have reached a corrected age of 60 weeks. Risks should be discussed with parents and carers on an individual basis.
- 3.38 Parents, carers, children and young people should be provided with good-quality preoperative information, including information on fasting and on what to do if the child becomes unwell before the operation. Postoperative analgesia requirements should be anticipated, and should be discussed at the preadmission assessment visit.
- 3.39 Specific guidance for the prevention and treatment of postoperative nausea and vomiting in children and young people should be available.⁴⁷
- 3.40 There should be clear documented discharge criteria following day case surgery.
- 3.41 Discharge advice should be detailed and carefully worded to facilitate continuing care by parents or carers.

3.42 A local policy on analgesia for home use should be in place, with either provision of medications, or advice to parents and carers before admission to purchase suitable simple analgesics. In both instances, there should be clear instructions to parents and carers about their regular use in the correct dose and for a suitable duration. Parents and carers should be given written instructions on administration of analgesia and know who to contact if problems arise. In addition, safe practice with medicines when children are present should be emphasised.

Teenagers and young adults

Teenagers and young people have particular physical and psychosocial needs.

- 3.43 The decision on the most appropriate place for the treatment of a teenager or young person should be made on an individual basis, balancing the expertise of the clinician in the patient's condition against any effort to fully separate adult patients from teenagers. Local operating policies should be in place to support this decision.
- 3.44 Where treatment is carried out in facilities normally used by adult patients, such as obstetric units or for patients requiring electroconvulsive therapy treatment, guidelines should be in place for staff training and organisation of services.^{48,49}

Transitional care

- 3.45 Where children are transferring from paediatric to adult services there should be the opportunity to advise them about possible changes in anaesthesia management. Examples may include the use of sedation for some procedures that previously would have been managed with general anaesthesia, or the use of alternatives to topical anaesthesia.³⁶
- 3.46 A person-centred approach should be used to ensure that the young person is an equal partner in decisions regarding their care during this transitional period.³⁶
- 3.47 Anaesthesia records from their previous care should be available to the new service (or a summary document should be provided).³⁶
- 3.48 Health and social care service managers in children's and adults' services should work together in an integrated way to ensure a smooth and gradual transition for young people. Anaesthetic input should be considered for the transition of complex young people.⁵⁰

4 Training and education

Anaesthesia for children should be undertaken or supervised by anaesthetists who have undergone appropriate training. In the UK, all anaesthetists receiving a Certificate of Completion of Training (CCT) will have undertaken paediatric anaesthesia training; the competencies obtained vary slightly depending on the iteration of the curriculum followed. Further information regarding the curriculum is available from the RCOA website.³ As a minimum, at CCT they should be competent to provide safe perioperative care for common non-complex elective and emergency procedures in children aged one year and older. Anaesthetists providing care to a wider and more complex paediatric population will have acquired more advanced competencies.

Unless there is no requirement to anaesthetise children, either for elective or emergency procedures, it is expected that the competence and confidence to treat children will be maintained. This may be via direct care, continuing professional development (CPD) activities, refresher courses, visits to other centres or by doubling up and working with more experienced colleagues from the same or other centres. This should be objectively reviewed regularly and assured through annual appraisal and revalidation.

- 4.1 Anaesthetists with a substantial commitment to paediatric anaesthesia should have satisfied the higher and advanced level competency-based training requirements in paediatric anaesthesia on the 2010 RCoA Curriculum or have completed the final stage of training (stage 3) and specialist interest area in the 2021 RCoA Curriculum or equivalent.³ It is recognised that anaesthetists involved in highly specialised areas such as paediatric cardiac and neurosurgery will require additional training that is individually tailored to their needs.⁵¹
- 4.2 All anaesthetists who provide elective or emergency care for infants, children or young adults should have training in advanced life support that covers their expected range of clinical practice and responsibilities.^{52,53} These competencies should be maintained by annual training that are ideally multidisciplinary and scenario based.⁵⁴
- 4.3 Anaesthetists should be aware of legislation and good-practice guidance relevant to children and according to the location in the UK. ^{55,56,57,58,59} These documents refer to the rights of the child, child protection processes, and consent.
- 4.4 All anaesthetists must undertake at least level 2 training in safeguarding/child protection, and must maintain this level of competence by annual updates of current policy and practice and case discussion.^{60,61} Safeguarding resources to support learning can be found on the RCoA website (www.rcoa.ac.uk/safeguardingplus).
- 4.5 At least one consultant in each department should take the lead in safeguarding/child protection and undertake training and maintain core level 3 competencies.⁶² The lead anaesthetist for safeguarding/child protection should advise on and co-ordinate training within their department but will not have responsibility for deciding on management of individual clinical cases.
- 4.6 Anaesthetists who do not have regular children's lists but who do have both daytime and out of hours responsibility for providing care for children requiring emergency surgery should maintain appropriate clinical knowledge and skills.
- 4.7 The establishment of regional ODNs for children's surgery and anaesthesia will provide education that is over and above the core requirements of trusts. ODN education will add value, drive consistency and a high-quality service through shared learning.
- 4.8 There should be funding and arrangements for study leave such that all consultants and SOS doctors who have any responsibility to provide anaesthesia for children are able to participate in relevant CPD that relates to paediatric anaesthesia and resuscitation and to their level of specialty practice. Individual CPD requirements should be jointly agreed during the appraisal process.
- 4.9 There should be evidence of appropriate and relevant paediatric CPD in the five-year revalidation cycle.⁶³
- 4.10 Anaesthetists returning to paediatric practice after a period of absence should have a structured plan of induction and supervision in place which supports their learning needs so that they are competent to provide safe perioperative care for common non-complex elective and emergency procedures in children aged one year and older.⁶⁴
- 4.11 In non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres, consultant anaesthetists who care for children should have the opportunity to undertake regular supernumerary attachments to operating lists or secondments to specialist tertiary paediatric centres.
- 4.12 In non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres, having visiting consultant paediatric anaesthetists from specialist tertiary paediatric centres to attend operating lists to provide education and training updates should be considered. These may be part of the arrangements in place

within a children's surgery ODN. The Certificate of Fitness for Honorary Practice may facilitate such placements and provides a relatively simple system for updates in specialist centres.⁶⁵ Paediatric simulation work may also be useful in helping to maintain paediatric knowledge and skills.

5 Organisation and administration

- 5.1 Hospitals should define the extent of elective and emergency surgical provision for children, and the thresholds for transfer to other centres as part of an ODN for children's surgery.
- 5.2 Non-specialist tertiary paediatric centres should have a multidisciplinary committee for paediatric care to formulate and review provision. This committee should involve anaesthetists, paediatricians, surgeons, emergency department representatives, senior children's nurses, managers and other professionals, such as paediatric pharmacists. In some hospitals, this will also include critical care physicians.
- 5.3 In non-specialist tertiary paediatric centres a multidisciplinary committee should be responsible for the overall management, governance and quality improvement of anaesthetic and surgical services for children, and should report directly to the hospital board.⁹
- 5.4 The opinions of children, young people and their families should be sought in the design and evaluation of services and future planning.⁶⁶
- 5.5 All hospitals that provide surgery for children and young people should have clear operational policies regarding who can anaesthetise children for elective and emergency surgery. This will be based on continuing clinical experience, the age of the child, the complexity of surgery and the presence of any comorbidities.^{8,15}
- 5.6 In all centres admitting children, one or more anaesthetist should be appointed as clinical lead (see <u>Glossary</u>) for paediatric anaesthesia. Typically, they should undertake at least one paediatric list each week and will be responsible for co-ordinating and overseeing anaesthetic services for children, with particular reference to teaching and training, audit, equipment, guidelines, pain management and resuscitation. There should be a trust-wide policy on paediatric sedation services.⁶⁷
- 5.7 Children and young people undergoing surgery should be placed on designated children's operating lists in a separate children's theatre area. When this is not possible, children and young people should be given priority by placing them at the beginning of a mixed list of elective or emergency cases.
- 5.8 A WHO checklist should be completed before and during all procedures and investigations under anaesthesia and sedation, if provided by the anaesthetic department. A preprocedure team safety brief should be undertaken as per the national safety standards for invasive procedures.⁶⁸
- 5.9 Hospitals should review their local standards to ensure that they are harmonised with the relevant national safety standards (e.g. National Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures in England and Wales, the Scottish Patient Safety Programme in Scotland and Safety and quality standards in Northern Ireland).^{69,70,71} Organisational leaders are ultimately responsible for implementing local safety standards as necessary.
- 5.10 A family-centred approach to the perioperative care pathway should be adopted, with physical separation between adult patients and children in the operating department, recovery area, day units and in the emergency department whenever possible.^{15,72}

- 5.11 All children and young people should be assessed before their operation by an anaesthetist. Parents and carers, as well as the child, should be given the opportunity to ask questions and to be involved in the physical and psychological preparation for surgery.
- 5.12 Parents and carers should be involved throughout the care process. With the agreement of the anaesthetist in charge of the case on the day, they should be able to accompany children to the anaesthetic room, remain present for induction of anaesthesia and be able to gain easy access to the recovery area. In special circumstances, such as with some small babies and with anticipated difficult intubations, this may not be possible.

Regional networks

Paediatric services should be co-ordinated through regional ODNs which include children's surgery and anaesthesia. These should be established and maintained by commissioning groups.⁷³ The ODNs provide collaborative multidisciplinary working between children's clinical service providers within a defined geographical region focused on a specialist tertiary paediatric centre.

- 5.13 Hospitals should engage with networks to develop agreed standard patient care pathways based on age, comorbidity and complexity of procedure, as well as clinical urgency. There should be multidirectional flow of patients within the care pathways as part of the ODN determined by patient needs to local service provision, staffing and geography.
- 5.14 The ODN and the hospitals within the network should work in partnership in providing a framework for CPD education and training, audit and standards for clinical care to meet the needs of individual clinicians within the network and the local service provision.
- 5.15 Sharing of resources amongst hospitals within the network should be encouraged and facilitated.
- 5.16 Surgical and anaesthetic ODNs should work with existing paediatric critically ill networks to ensure links between departments of paediatrics, surgery, anaesthesia and critical care in non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres and the corresponding specialist tertiary paediatric centres.
- 5.17 Hospitals that are specialist paediatric tertiary centres should have on site access to a paediatric critical care transport service commissioned for the retrieval or transfer of critically ill or injured infants, children and young people.¹³
- 5.18 Units without inpatient paediatric beds should have a formal arrangement with a neighbouring unit, to ensure that practical assistance is available should a child require transfer.⁹ Protocols should be in place for the rapid assessment and transfer of patients to the local specialist unit within the network.¹³

Access to critical care facilities

Critical care facilities for children are not available in all hospitals where children are anaesthetised. Paediatric high dependency and critical care facilities should be available and delivered within a network of care that supports major/complex surgery, and critically ill or injured infants and children.

- 5.19 Onsite children's critical care and high-dependency services should be appropriate to the type of surgery performed and the age and comorbidity of patients and should be available to support the delivery of more complex postoperative analgesic techniques.
- 5.20 In hospitals with no onsite paediatric high-dependency and critical-care facilities, there should be the facilities and expertise to initiate critical care prior to transfer/retrieval to a

designated regional PICU/high-dependency facility. This may involve short-term use of adult/general intensive care facilities and clear pathways of communication and referral.¹³

Guidelines

- 5.21 There should be ready access to evidence-based guidelines that are appropriate for children on the following topics:
 - management of pain, nausea and vomiting
 - fluid fasting⁷⁴
 - intravenous fluid management²⁰
 - prevention of perioperative venous thromboembolism⁷⁵
 - death of the child in theatre
 - protocols for anaesthetic emergencies, including:
 - anaphylaxis⁷⁶
 - malignant hyperthermia
 - difficult airway management
 - airway obstruction
 - resuscitation
 - local anaesthetic toxicity
 - major haemorrhage
 - emergency paediatric tracheostomy management.77
- 5.22 When infants and children undergo procedures under sedation alone, recommended published guidance for the conduct of paediatric sedation should be used for example guidance published by the National Institute for Health and Care excellence (NICE) and the <u>Academy of Medical Royal Colleges</u>.^{78,79,80}
- 5.23 Guidance on pre-procedure pregnancy testing in female patients should be followed.⁸¹

6 Financial considerations

Part of the methodology used for making recommendations in the chapter is a consideration of the financial impact for each of the recommendations. Very few of the literature sources from which these recommendations have been drawn have included financial analysis.

The vast majority of the recommendations are not new recommendations; rather they are a synthesis of already existing recommendations. The current compliance rates with many of the recommendations are unknown and so it is not possible to calculate their financial impact when widely accepted into future practice. It is impossible to make an overall assessment of this financial impact with the currently available information.

7 Research, audit and quality improvement

The use of improvement science methodology plays an important role in the quality assurance process and in measuring performance.

7.1 Quality indicators, such as unplanned inpatient admission following day case surgery, readmission within 28 days, or unanticipated admission to PICU following surgery, should be measured, collated and analysed, and can be compared within regional networks. A

number of suggested audit topics specifically relating to paediatric anaesthesia are set out in the RCoA document, Raising the Standard: A compendium of audit recipes.⁸²

- 7.2 Regional ODNs could provide agreed quality standards for the perioperative care of infants, children and young people, and units should be encouraged to participate in regular collation of data relating to these standards. Participation in national audit should also be encouraged.⁵
- 7.3 Quality improvement projects in relevant areas of paediatric anaesthetic practice should be agreed and implemented.^{1,77,83}
- 7.4 Adoption of national initiatives (for example 'Hello my name is') should be encouraged and evaluated.⁸⁴
- 7.5 Multidisciplinary audit and morbidity and mortality meetings relating to paediatric anaesthesia and procedures, including resuscitation, should be held regularly. Perioperative death in infants and children is rare. When a death occurs within 30 days of surgery, a multidisciplinary meeting should be convened and a note made in the clinical record.¹⁵ In the event of any unexpected child death, whether related to surgery or not, this must be reported to the local 'Child Death Overview Panel'. This will usually be the responsibility of the local designated paediatrician; and the process for notification of a child death must be followed.⁸⁵
- 7.6 Audit activity should include the regular analysis and multidisciplinary review of untoward incidents. Serious events and near misses must be thoroughly investigated and reported to the relevant national agency, in line with national requirements. Learning from serious events and near misses should be fed back to the MDT.⁸⁶
- 7.7 There should be continuing audit of all children transferred between hospitals for surgery. ODNs and local hospitals should work in partnership to monitor this.
- 7.8 Anaesthesia research in children should be facilitated when possible and should follow strict ethical standards.⁸⁷
- 7.9 Anaesthetists who care for children and young people should be familiar with relevant patient safety issues.⁸⁸

8 Implementation support

Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation scheme

The Anaesthesia Clinical Services Accreditation (ACSA) scheme, run by the RCoA, provides a set of standards based on the recommendations contained in the GPAS chapters. As part of the scheme, departments of anaesthesia self-assess against the standards and undertake quality improvement projects to close the gap. Support is provided by the RCoA in the form of the good practice library, which shares documents and ideas from other departments on how to meet the standards. Further advice can be obtained from the ACSA team and department's assigned College guide.

The ACSA standards are regularly reviewed on at least a three yearly basis to ensure that they reflect current GPAS recommendations and good practice. This feedback process works both ways and the ACSA scheme regularly provides CDGs with comments on the GPAS recommendations, based on departments' experience of implementing the recommendations.

Further information about the ACSA scheme can be found here: <u>www.rcoa.ac.uk/safety-standards-quality/anaesthesia-clinical-services-accreditation</u>

Peer review

Peer review is a free service that aims to support departments, help develop their services, and share and disseminate aspects of good practice between departments across the country.

Peer review started in 1999 between major UK children's hospitals and was soon extended to include paediatric anaesthesia departments in university and district general hospitals. It works alongside ACSA, but is more focussed on determining what might work best for the particular department with the facilities that are available to it, rather than looking to achieve specific standards.

A team of peer reviewers consists of three or four consultant anaesthetists (from a mix of specialist tertiary paediatric centres and district general hospitals) and a PatientsVoices@RCoA reviewer. Unlike the ACSA report, which is sent to the College, the peer review report is sent to the department for their own safekeeping. Information from a peer review is recognised by ACSA provided a full ACSA review is undertaken within four years of the peer review. It can therefore be a stepping stone with constructive feedback towards a full ACSA review.

9 Patient Information

All parents or legal guardians of children and young people undergoing anaesthesia should be as well informed as possible about the planned procedure, including methods for induction of anaesthesia and analgesia. Information should be given about the associated risks and side effects, and families should be encouraged to ask questions and be involved in decisions about their child's care. Children and young people should receive information appropriate to their age and understanding. Young people should be encouraged to participate in decisions about their own care where appropriate.

Information

The Royal College of Anaesthetists have developed a range of <u>Trusted Information Creator</u> <u>Kitemark</u> accredited patient information resources that can be accessed from <u>our website</u>. Our main leaflets are now translated into more than 20 languages, including Welsh.

- 9.1 Families should be provided with written or web-based resources that provide information specific to anaesthesia before the planned surgery/procedure, and contact details for the preassessment team should be provided in case they have further questions or need to speak directly with their anaesthetist.⁸⁹ The leaflet 'Information for Teenagers, Children and Parents' is available from the <u>RCoA website</u>, and other leaflets there and on the <u>Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland (APAGBI)</u> website provide other patient, parent and carer information resources.^{89,90,91,92}
- 9.2 Information provided preoperatively should include:
 - anaesthetic technique; analgesia plan, including regional blockade; any additional procedures (e.g. invasive monitoring, blood transfusion); and planned postoperative care in a critical care environment
 - a statement that the ultimate decision making will take place on the day of surgery, according to the needs and safety of the child and as judged by the attending anaesthetist; and that planned resources, e.g. critical care beds, could be unexpectedly unavailable on the day and this may also be part of the decision making
 - a description of generally common adverse effects, e.g. sore throat and postoperative nausea and vomiting, and significant risks, e.g. allergic reactions; and any additional risks particular to the individual child and their comorbidities

- concerns raised in discussion with a child or young person or parents and carers, such as a fear of needles, fear of facemasks, loss of control (which is common in teenagers), emergence delirium, awareness, postoperative pain, postoperative nausea and vomiting, and the risk to the developing brain of anaesthesia in young children^{93,94}
- preoperative fasting instruction should be given verbally and in writing; the timing should be appropriate to the proposed theatre list start time⁹⁵
- information on the use of unlicensed medicines and/or licensed medicines for off-label indication if requested.⁹⁶
- 9.3 Young children have an increased incidence of postoperative delirium. Recovery staff should have an increased awareness for the management of this condition.
- 9.4 Information provided postoperatively should include the safe use of analgesia after surgery and discharge from hospital, and what to do and who to contact in the event of a problem or concern. This should include telephone numbers where advice may be sought 24 hours a day.
- 9.5 Information should be clear and consistent. It should be given verbally and also in written and/or electronic form.⁹⁷
- 9.6 Children should receive information before admission that is appropriate to their age and level of understanding. Information can be provided at face-to-face meetings by nurses and play therapists, and can be enhanced with booklets, web links, online apps or videos.⁹⁸
- 9.7 Young people have additional needs and may wish to speak to the anaesthetist or another member of staff without direct parental presence.^{66,99} Anaesthetists should make it clear that they are willing to speak with young people on their own, on request.
- 9.8 Postmenarcheal women should be made aware of the need for clinicians to establish pregnancy status before surgery or procedures involving anaesthesia. While obtaining and documenting this information is primarily the responsibility of the operating surgeon or paediatrician, anaesthetists may also feel it necessary to confirm that such checks have been performed. Trusts should have agreed policies and arrangements for information, consent and disclosure of results.⁸¹

Consent

All children should be included in discussions regarding their health and treatment as much as possible given their level of comprehension. When a child is not able to consent for themselves (see below), consent should be sought from someone with parental responsibility, but the child can also be invited to signify their assent on the consent form if they wish to do so.^{55,100}

Young people of 16 and 17 years can independently give consent unless they can be shown not to have capacity. Where they do not have capacity, someone with parental responsibility or a group of professionals involved in the child's care who can agree that the treatment is in best interest of the child can give consent (except in Scotland where the same rules as for adults apply).^{57,58}

Children under the age of 16 years who have sufficient intelligence and maturity to fully understand treatments that are proposed are referred to as being 'Gillick competent' and can give consent themselves.¹⁰¹

- 9.9 Anaesthetists treating children and young people must ensure that they understand the requirements for consent in the part of the UK in which they are working.^{55,57,58,59}
- 9.10 Parental responsibility should be established in advance of admission, and appropriate consent procedures followed, involving the court and/or social services as appropriate.

- 9.11 For planned procedures, if there is doubt about parental responsibility, advice should be sought from senior hospital medicolegal advisers and/or defence organisations.
- 9.12 Although separate written consent for anaesthesia is not mandatory in the UK, there should be a written record of all discussions with the child and/or parent/carers about methods of induction, and provision of postoperative pain relief (including the use of suppositories).^{102,103}
- 9.13 Where special techniques such as neuraxial blockade and regional blocks, invasive monitoring and blood transfusions are anticipated, there should normally be written evidence that this has been discussed with the child or young person and/or their parents or carers as appropriate.^{102,103}
- 9.14 Children may require anaesthesia for diagnostic procedures, such as magnetic response imaging.

The consent process is essentially composed of two components: consent for the procedure and consent for the general anaesthesia or sedation.

The referring clinician (or radiologist in some institutions) is responsible for the explanation of risks vs benefits, including the possible risks of the imaging is not carried out. This should occur early in the process, prior to the day of the procedure, and it should be made clear that there are associated significant risks of general anaesthesia which are rare and state a general order of risk. The discussion needs to be recorded and written consent obtained from parents or legal guardian. This is regardless of where the referring clinician is based, often in another institution.

The consent for general anaesthesia or sedation must include a more detailed discussion of side effects and likely risks regarding the individual child. The conversation must be documented but written consent for anaesthesia is not (currently) mandatory in the UK but may be subject to local governance policies in some trusts.

Consent for the procedure should be reconfirmed on the day.^{104,105}

- 9.15 If withdrawing or withholding life-sustaining treatments is being considered, possible outcomes and plans should be carefully discussed and documented by the MDT team of professionals and the family/young person (as appropriate), in advance of planned anaesthesia and including the management of 'do not attempt cardiopulmonary resuscitation' orders.^{106,107,108}
- 9.16 Duty of candour guidelines must be followed.¹⁰⁹

Areas for future development

The following areas are suggested for further research:

- preadmission assessment services for children
- quality improvement in paediatric services.
- newer monitoring techniques, such as processed EEG monitors used during total intravenous anaesthesia
- role of operational delivery networks.

Abbreviations

CDG	Chapter development group	
CPD	Continuing professional development	
GPAS	Guidelines for the Provision of Anaesthetic Services	
NICE	National Institute for Health and Care Excellence	
ODN	Operational delivery network	
PICU	Paediatric Intensive Care Unit	
RCoA	Royal College of Anaesthetists	
SAS	staff grade, associate specialist and specialty	

Glossary

Autonomously practising anaesthetists – a consultant, or an associate specialist, specialist doctor and speciality doctor (SAS) doctor who can function autonomously to a level of defined competencies, as agreed within local clinical governance frameworks.

Clinical lead - SAS doctors undertaking lead roles should be autonomously practicing doctors who have competence, experience, and communication skills in the specialist area equivalent to consultant colleagues. They should usually have experience in teaching and education relevant to the role and they should participate in quality improvement and CPD activities. Individuals should be fully supported by their Clinical Director and should be provided with adequate time and resources to allow them to effectively undertake the lead role.

Non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres - are hospitals who care for children providing nonspecialist children's surgery, do not have onsite children's critical care facilities and also do not have a dedicated paediatric anaesthesia on call rota. Examples of the type of children's surgery include ear, nose and throat surgery such as adenotonsillectomy, paediatric general surgery such as inguinal hernia repair. Non-specialist paediatric tertiary centres may have visiting children's specialist surgeons such a paediatric general surgeon who provides surgical procedures for children if these are not available locally. This would include the majority of district general hospitals.

Specialist tertiary paediatric centres - are hospitals that provide tertiary specialist children's surgery including neonatal surgery. These hospitals usually have onsite neonatal and/ or children's critical care facilities with a dedicated paediatric anaesthesia on call rota. Specialist tertiary paediatric centres may be standalone children's hospitals or be part of university teaching hospitals with separate facilities for children. Examples of the type of children's surgery include congenital neonatal and general paediatric surgery, paediatric neurosurgery, and paediatric cardiac surgery.

References

- Habre W, Disma N, Vrag K et al. Incidence of severe critical events in paediatric anaesthesia (APRICOT study); a prospective multi centre observational study in 261 hospitals in Europe. Lancet Resp Med 2017; 5:412-25
- 2 Engelhardt T, Ayansina D, Bell G et al. Incidence of severe critical events in paediatric anaesthesia in the United Kingdom: secondary analysis of the anaesthesia practice in children observational trial (APRICOT study). Anaesth 2019; 74: 300-11
- 3 Royal College of Anaesthetists. 2021 Anaesthetics Curriculum, 2021 (bit.ly/34DcQMz)
- 4 General Medical Council. The 'Good medical practice' framework for appraisal and revalidation, 2013 (bit.ly/2dgbuyc)
- 5 General Medical Council. Good medical practice, 2024 ((bit.ly/11DfrXk)
- 6 Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Recommendations for standards of monitoring during anaesthesia and recovery 2015. *Anaesth* 2016; 71: 85-93
- 7 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Guidance on supervision arrangements for anaesthetists. RCoA London, 2021 (<u>bit.ly/3xCU5ER</u>)
- 8 National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Death. Are we there yet? A review of organisational and clinical aspects of children's surgery, 2011 (<u>bit.ly/1mrKJrh</u>)
- 9 Royal College of Surgeons England. Children's surgical forum: Standards for non-specialist emergency surgical care of Children, 2015 (<u>bit.ly/2obg07Y</u>)
- 10 Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Immediate Post-anaesthesia Recovery 2013. Anaesthesia 2013; 68: 288-97
- 11 Association of Anaesthetists. The anaesthesia team, 2018 (bit.ly/3riyEgr)
- 12 Royal College of Nursing. Health care service standards in caring for neonates, children and young people, 2014 (<u>bit.ly/3ginTQM</u>)
- 13 Paediatric Intensive Care Society. Quality standards for the care of critically ill children, 2021 (bit.ly/2kVJOig)
- 14 Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Update on paediatric resuscitation training for non-training grade anaesthetists, 2016 (<u>bit.ly/2kublY9</u>)
- 15 Royal College of Surgeons England. Children's surgical forum: standards for children's surgery, 2013 (bit.ly/2meP6eN)
- 16 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Capnography: No Trace = Wrong Place (<u>bit.ly/3rSn0nm</u>)
- 17 Cook T, Woodall N, Frerk C. NAP4 Major Complications of Airway Management in the United Kingdom: 4th National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society. Report and findings. London: Royal College of Anaesthetists and Difficult Airway Society; 2011 (bit.ly/34ildO5)
- 18 National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Technology Appraisal No.49: Guidance on the use of ultrasound locating devices for placing central venous catheters, 2002 (<u>bit.ly/2FBQ0qn</u>)
- 19 National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Interventional Procedures Guidance No.285: Ultrasoundguided regional nerve block, 2009 (<u>bit.ly/2Fy3PGh</u>)
- 20 National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Guideline No.29: Intravenous fluid therapy in children and young people in hospital, 2015 (<u>bit.ly/2tuVZM5</u>)
- 21 Resuscitation Council UK. Paediatric advanced life support guidelines, 2021 (bit.ly/3wLA4Mm)
- 22 Spaeth JP, Kreeger R, Varughese AM, Wittkugel E. Interventions designed using quality improvement methods reduce the incidence for serious airway events and airway cardiac arrests during pediatric anesthesia. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2016; 26: 164-72
- 23 Grigg EB, Martin LD, Ross FJ et al. Assessing the impact of the anesthesia medication template on medication errors during anesthesia; A prospective study. Anesth Analg 2017; 124: 1617-25
- 24 Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Best Practice Guidance:

Preassessment Services for Children undergoing Surgery or Procedures. 2022. (bit.ly/41rn3cZ)

- 25 Armstrong TSH, Aitken HL. The developing role of play preparation in paediatric anaesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth 2000; 10: 1-4
- 26 British Psychological Society. Evidence-based Guidelines for the Management of Invasive and/or Distressing Procedures with Children. Leicester, 2010 (<u>bit.ly/3okcSDc</u>)
- 27 Anderson BJ. Drug error in paediatric anaesthesia: current status and where to go now. Current Opinion in Anaesthesiology 2018; 31: 333-41
- 28 Kaufmann J, Wolf AR, Becke K, et al. Drug safety in paediatric anaesthesia. BJA 2017; 118: 670-9
- 29 British National Formulary for Children 2014–2015 (bit.ly/3Gk2Hof)
- 30 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Guidance on the provision of anaesthesia services for acute pain management, London 2019
- 31 Faculty of Pain Medicine. Core Standards for Pain Management Services in the UK, 2015 (bit.ly/1Nrhpdb)
- 32 Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Good practice in postoperative and procedural pain (2nd Edition), 2012 (<u>bit.ly/2oXZZmv</u>)
- 33 National steering group for specialist children's services: report of the age appropriate care working group. National delivery plan for specialist children services, Scotland 2009 (<u>bit.ly/1cNimOa</u>)
- 34 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Bridging the gaps: health care for adolescents, 2003
- 35 Department of Health. 'You're Welcome' quality criteria for young people friendly health services (Gateway 15388), 2011 (<u>bit.ly/2mAmcoa</u>)
- 36 National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Guideline No.43: Transition from children's to adults' services for young people using health and social care services, 2016 (<u>bit.ly/2mArTma</u>)
- 37 World Health Organization. Health Topics Infant, Newborn (bit.ly/2mAzhxW)
- 38 Ahmad N., Dobby N., Kuchi S., Masip N., Brooks P., Hare A et al. Perioperative critical events and morbidity associated with anesthesia in early life. *Paediatric Anaesthesia* 2022; 32 801-814
- 39 Department of Health. The acutely or critically sick or injured child in the District General Hospital: a team response. Report of a Working Party, 2005
- 40 NHS Improvement. The learning disability improvement standards for NHS Trusts. NHS Improvement, London 2018 (<u>bit.ly/3rSyG9j</u>)
- 41 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. *Standards for children and young people in emergency care settings*, 2012 (<u>bit.ly/1RW3zmp</u>)
- 42 Department of Health. Toolkit for high quality neonatal services, 2009 (bit.ly/3olPOnD)
- 43 Nathanson M, Andrzejowski J, Dinsmore C *et al*. Guidelines for safe transfer of the brain-injured patient: trauma and stroke. Association of Anaesthetists, 2019; 75: 234-46
- 44 Paediatric Critical Care Services Acute Transport Group (bit.ly/2jSM1iw)
- 45 Joint statement from Society of British Neurological Surgeons and the Royal College of Anaesthetists regarding the provision of emergency paediatric neurosurgical services. RCoA, 2010 (<u>bit.ly/22cM6uB</u>)
- 46 Association of Anaesthetists. Guidelines for day-case surgery, 2019 (bit.ly/3vKeWpQ)
- 47 Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Guidelines on the Prevention of Postoperative Vomiting in Children, 2016 (<u>bit.ly/2oWnAnx</u>)
- 48 Royal College of Midwives. Getting maternity services right for pregnant teenagers and young fathers, 2008 (<u>bit.ly/2DYYGZ9</u>)
- 49 Royal College of Psychiatrists. ECT accreditation standards, 2016 (bit.ly/2mylo3x)
- 50 Children and Families Act. HMSO, 2014 (bit.ly/1cYhZAX)
- 51 Association of Paediatric Anaesthesia in Great Britain and Ireland. The APAGBI Training Handbook, 2018 (bit.ly/36tNph4)
- 52 Resuscitation Council UK. Quality Standards for cardiopulmonary resuscitation practice and training. Introduction and overview, 2013 (bit.ly/2B8JYxc)

- 53 Resuscitation Council UK. Quality Standards for cardiopulmonary resuscitation practice and training. Acute care quality standards. RCUK 2013, updated 2017 (<u>bit.ly/2FVDm9C</u>)
- 54 Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Recommended paediatric resuscitation training for non-training grade anaesthetists, 2014 (<u>bit.ly/3rnvhRp</u>)
- 55 General Medical Council. 0–18 years: guidance for all doctors, 2007 (bit.ly/1cNqZsz)
- 56 The Family Proceedings Courts (Children Act 1989) (Amendment) Rules 2004 (bit.ly/1h9UVvA)
- 57 Age of Legal Capacity (Scotland) Act 1991 (bit.ly/1h9V7uU)
- 58 Children (Scotland) Act 1995 (bit.ly/1h9Ve9O)
- 59 Northern Ireland Child Care law the rough guide. DHSSPS, Northern Ireland, 2004
- 60 General Medical Council. Protecting children and young people: doctors' responsibilities, 2012 (bit.ly/1cNoXZk)
- 61 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Safeguarding children and young people: roles and competencies for health care staff (Intercollegiate document), 2014 (<u>bit.ly/3L8CPil</u>)
- 62 Royal College of Anaesthetists and Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Lead anaesthetist for child protection/safeguarding, 2016 (<u>bit.ly/3L6nWgZ</u>)
- 63 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Continuing professional development: guidance for doctors in anaesthesia, intensive care and pain medicine, 2013 (<u>bit.ly/3Hsgiv1</u>)
- 64 Royal College of Anaesthetists, Faculty of Pain Medicine, The Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine. Returning to work after a period of absence. Royal College of Anaesthetists, 2015 (<u>bit.ly/3Cbo2zr</u>)
- 65 NHS England. Certificate of Fitness for Honorary Practice, 2016 (<u>bit.ly/3uoVmBw</u>)
- 66 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Not just a phase a guide to the participation of children and young people in health services, 2010 (<u>bit.ly/2nfpXBy</u>)
- 67 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Sedation in under 19s: using sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures, 2010 (<u>bit.ly/39hjHO2</u>)
- 68 NHS England. National Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures (NatSSIPs). NHS England, 2015 (<u>bit.ly/37gleS7</u>)
- 69 NHS England. National Safety Standards for Invasive Procedures (NatSSIPs), 2015 (bit.ly/1K6fRY2)
- 70 Scottish Patient Safety Programme (bit.ly/3GmRq6v)
- 71 Department of Health. Safety and quality standards (bit.ly/3tl7d0Y)
- 72 Chorney JM, Kain ZN. Family-centered pediatric perioperative care. Anesthesiology 2010; 112: 751-5
- 73 NHS England and NHS Improvement. Paediatric critical care and surgery in children review: Summary report, 2019 (<u>bit.ly/2Vpcrf6</u>)
- 74 Thomas M, Morrison C, Newton R, Schindler E. Consensus statement on clear fluids fasting for elective pediatric general anesthesia. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2018; 28: 411-4
- 75 Morgan J, Checketts M, Arana A, Chalmers E, Maclean J, Powis M, Morton N Prevention of perioperative venous thromboembolism in pediatric patients: Guidelines from the APAGBI. Paediatr Anaesth 2018; 28: 382-91
- 76 Stepanovic B, Sommerfield D, Lucas M et al. An update on allergy and anaphylaxis in pediatric anesthesia. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2019
- 77 National Tracheostomy Safety Project. Paediatric emergency algorithms, 2014 (bit.ly/2mBKtvN)
- 78 Clinical Guideline 112: Sedation in under 19s: using sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. NICE, 2010 (<u>bit.ly/2FDCH8E</u>)
- 79 Academy of Medical Royal Colleges. Standards and Guidance: Safe sedation practice for healthcare procedures, 2013 (<u>bit.ly/3ookKnf</u>)
- 80 Academy of Medical Royal Colleges. Standards and Guidance: Safe sedation practice for healthcare procedures: An update, 2021 (<u>bit.ly/3jlY13n</u>)

- 81 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Pre-procedure pregnancy checking for under 16s: guidance for clinicians, 2012 (<u>bit.ly/2kwxiva</u>)
- 82 Raising the Standard: RCoA quality improvement compendium. 4th Edn. London: Royal College of Anaesthetists; 2020 (<u>bit.ly/2Dnz3Ej</u>)
- 83 Buck D.W., Claure R., Tjia I.M., Varughese A.M., Brustowicz R., Subramanyam R. How the Wake Up Safe pediatric anesthesia collaborative increased quality improvement capability and collaboration. *Paediatric Anaesthesia* 2022
- 84 Hellomynameis (<u>www.hellomynameis.org.uk</u>)
- 85 Working together to Safeguard Children: March 2015 (bit.ly/10Cvlwv)
- 86 NHS Improvement. Reporting patient safety incidents (bit.ly/2kcPCUG)
- 87 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Guidelines for the ethical conduct of research in children. Arch Dis Childhood 2000; 82: 177-82
- 88 Safe Anaesthesia Liaison Group (<u>www.salg.ac.uk/salg</u>)
- 89 RCoA, AAGBI and APAGBI. Your child's general anaesthetic. RCoA, 2020 (bit.ly/3aeaFQG)
- 90 McEwen A, Moorthy C, Quantock C, Rose H, Kavanagh R. The effect of videotaped preoperative information on parental anxiety during anesthesia induction for elective pediatric procedures. *Paediatr* Anaesth 2007; 17: 534-9
- 91 Franck LS, Spencer C. Informing parents about anaesthesia for children's surgery: a critical literature review. Patient Educ Couns 2005; 59: 117-25
- 92 Royal College of Anaesthetists. You and your anaesthetic: a young person's web guide, 2018 (bit.ly/3hzo1Ny)
- 93 Royal College of Anaesthetists. NAP5 Accidental awareness during General anaesthesia in the United Kingdom and Ireland (5th national audit project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists). Chapter 15: AAGA in children, 2014 (bit.ly/1TTz5A1)
- 94 Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Anaesthesia and the developing brain, 20152019 (<u>bit.ly/3L9oS4j</u>)
- 95 Cantellow S, Lightfoot J, Bould H, Beringer R. Survey of parents' understanding and compliance with fasting advice for pediatric day case surgery. *Paediatr Anaesth* 2012; 22: 897-900
- 96 Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. The use of unlicensed medicines or licensed medicines for unlicensed applications in paediatric practice, 2013 (<u>bit.ly/1fFVC4S</u>)
- 97 Spencer C, Franck LS. Giving parents written information about children's anaesthesia: are setting and timing important? *Paediatr Anaesth* 2005; 15: 547–53
- 98 RCoA and APAGBI information for children and parents. RCoA, 2014 (bit.ly/2SiKleO)
- 99 Smith L, Callery P. Children's accounts of their preoperative information needs. J Child Health C 2005; 17: 230–8
- 100 Parental rights and responsibilities. UK Government, 2014 (bit.ly/1meLdQE)
- 101 Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. AAGBI: Consent for anaesthesia 2017. Anaesthesia 2017; 72: 93–105
- 102 General Medical Council. Decision Making and Consent. London, 2020 (bit.ly/3fniB6E)
- 103 Association of Paediatric Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. APAGBI statement on decision making and consent following the update to GMC guidance published on 9th November 2020. APAGBI, 2020 (<u>bit.ly/2VtePBu</u>)
- 104 Wilson S, Shinde S, Appleby I et al. Guidelines for the safe provision of anaesthesia in magnetic resonance units 2019. Association of Anaesthetists; 74: 638-50
- 105 Royal College of Anaesthetists. Paediatric Imaging under General Anaesthetic. London, 2021 (bit.ly/411PPu8)
- 106 Larcher V, Craig F, Bhogal K, Wilkinson D, Brierley J. Making decisions to limit treatment in life limiting and life threatening conditions; A framework of practice. Arch Dis Childhood 2015; 100: s1-23

- 107 Guideline 61: End of life care for infants, children and young people. NICE, 2016 (bit.ly/1yRNaEV)
- 108 BMA, RC (UK) and RCN. Decisions relating to cardiopulmonary resuscitation, 2016 (bit.ly/1MGbdf3)
- 109 General Medical Council. Openness and honesty when things go wrong: the professional duty of candour, 2015 (<u>bit.ly/2lf8CTs</u>)

Appendix 1: Recommendations Grading

The grading system is outlined in the methodology section of this chapter. The grades for each of the recommendations in this chapter are detailed in the table below:

Recommendation Number	Level of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
1.1	М	Mandatory
1.2	С	Strong
1.3	GPP	Strong
1.4	С	Strong
1.5	С	Strong
1.6	С	Strong
1.7	С	Strong
1.8	С	Strong
1.9	С	Strong
1.10	GPP	Moderate
2.1	С	Strong
2.2	С	Strong
2.3	С	Strong
2.4	С	Strong
2.5	GPP	Strong
2.6	GPP	Strong
2.7	GPP	Strong
2.8	С	Strong
2.9	С	Strong
2.10	GPP	Strong
2.11	GPP	Strong
2.12	С	Strong
2.13	GPP	Strong
2.14	С	Strong
2.15	С	Strong
2.16	GPP	Strong
2.17	С	Strong
2.18	GPP	Strong

Recommendation Number	Level of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
2.19	GPP	Strong
2.20	GPP	Strong
2.21	GPP	Strong
2.22	С	Strong
2.23	GPP	Strong
3.1	GPP	Strong
3.2	GPP	Strong
3.3	GPP	Strong
3.4	GPP	Strong
3.5	GPP	Strong
3.6	GPP	Strong
3.7	GPP	Strong
3.8	GPP	Strong
3.9	GPP	Strong
3.10	GPP	Strong
3.11	С	Strong
3.12	GPP	Strong
3.13	GPP	Strong
3.14	GPP	Strong
3.15	С	Strong
3.16	С	Strong
3.17	С	Strong
3.18	GPP	Moderate
3.19	С	Strong
3.20	С	Strong
3.21	GPP	Strong
3.22	GPP	Strong
3.23	GPP	Strong
3.24	С	Strong
3.25	GPP	Strong
3.26	С	Strong
3.27	GPP	Strong
3.28	GPP	Strong
3.29	С	Strong
3.30	GPP	Strong
3.31	GPP	Strong

Recommendation Number	Level of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
3.32	GPP	Strong
3.33	GPP	Strong
3.34	GPP	Strong
3.35	GPP	Strong
3.36	GPP	Strong
3.37	GPP	Strong
3.38	GPP	Strong
3.39	С	Strong
3.40	GPP	Strong
3.41	GPP	Strong
3.42	GPP	Strong
3.43	GPP	Strong
3.44	С	Strong
3.45	С	Strong
3.46	С	Strong
3.47	С	Strong
3.48	С	Strong
4.1	С	Strong
4.2	С	Strong
4.3	С	Strong
4.4	М	Mandatory
4.5	С	Strong
4.6	GPP	Strong
4.7	GPP	Strong
4.8	GPP	Strong
4.9	С	Strong
4.10	С	Strong
4.11	GPP	Strong
4.12	С	Strong
5.1	GPP	Strong
5.2	GPP	Strong
5.3	GPP	Strong
5.4	С	Strong
5.5	С	Strong
5.6	GPP	Strong
5.7	GPP	Strong

Recommendation Number	Level of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
5.8	С	Mandatory
5.9	С	Strong
5.10	С	Strong
5.11	GPP	Strong
5.12	GPP	Strong
5.13	С	Strong
5.14	GPP	Strong
5.15	GPP	Strong
5.16	GPP	Strong
5.17	С	Strong
5.18	С	Strong
5.19	GPP	Strong
5.20	С	Strong
5.21	С	Strong
5.22	С	Strong
5.23	С	Strong
7.1	С	Strong
7.2	С	Aspirational
7.3	С	Strong
7.4	С	Moderate
7.5	М	Mandatory
7.6	С	Strong
7.7	GPP	Strong
7.8	С	Strong
7.9	С	Strong
9.1	С	Strong
9.2	С	Strong
9.3	GPP	Strong
9.4	GPP	Strong
9.5	В	Strong
9.6	С	Strong
9.7	С	Strong
9.8	С	Strong
9.9	М	Mandatory
9.0	GPP	Strong
9.11	GPP	Strong

Recommendation Number	Level of Evidence	Strength of Recommendation
9.12	С	Strong
9.13	С	Strong
9.14	С	Strong
9.15	С	Strong
9.16	М	Mandatory

About these guidelines

Methodology

The process by which this chapter has been developed has been documented within the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document, which is available on request.

The evidence included in this chapter is based on a systematic search of the literature. Abstracts were independently screened by two investigators and reviewed against inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data were extracted by one investigator in accordance with predefined criteria. The review objective was to determine the key components needed to ensure provision of high-quality perioperative services for patients who have undergone surgery and/or interventions which involve anaesthesia.

Search strategy

Searches were performed on Embase (1980 to 2015), Ovid MEDLINE (1946 to present), CINAHL and Cochrane Library, for the literature search strategy, outcomes, databases, criteria for inclusion and exclusion of evidence (for the full perioperative care chapter search protocol please contact the RCoA). A hand search of the literature was also conducted by the authors using the reference lists of relevant original articles and review articles.

The literature search was performed in March 2021.

The authors and researcher independently reviewed the abstracts and titles of the studies found in the initial search. After agreement on the primary selection of papers, full-text versions were accessed and reviewed against the following predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The full-text papers were also reviewed by the CDG for suitability. The final list of publications used can be found in the references.

Inclusion criteria

The literature review considered studies that included the following patient population with all of the inclusion criteria listed below:

- all patients undergoing elective or emergency anaesthesia
- all staff groups working within perioperative care, under the responsibility of an anaesthetic clinical director, including (but not restricted to) consultant anaesthetists, SAS anaesthetists, trainee anaesthetists, nurses, operating department practitioners, surgeons, pharmacists, general practitioners, radiologists and radiographers.

Exclusion criteria

The literature review used the following exclusion criteria:

• provision of perioperative care of elective and urgent care patients service provided by a speciality other than anaesthesia.

Data extraction and analysis

Data were extracted by the authors using a proforma. The study characteristics data included:

- the journal and country of publication
- the number of patients recruited into the study
- the study design
- patient characteristics
- outcome data
- the logic of the argument
- author's conclusions
- reviewer's comments.

The patient characteristics data extracted were: age, gender and type of surgery. The analysis considers studies that included any clinical outcome, including (but not restricted to) survival, length of stay – critical care or hospital, morbidity, adverse effects and complications.

The results of the literature review can be seen below:



Preferred Reporting Systems for Systematic and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) flow chart

The evidence that is included in this chapter has been graded according to a grading system adapted from NICE and outlined below:

Level	Type of evidence	Grade	Evidence	
la	Evidence obtained from a single large/multicentre randomised controlled trial, a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials or a systematic review with a low risk of bias	A	At least one randomised controlled trial as part of a body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation (evidence level I) without extrapolation	
lb	Evidence obtained from meta- analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs or RCTs with a high risk of bias	В	Well-conducted clinical studies but no high-quality randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation (evidence	
lla	Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation		levels lb, II or III); or extrapolated level la evidence	
llb	Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study			
llc	Evidence obtained from case control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding bias			
III	Evidence obtained from well- designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case studies			
IV	Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities	С	Expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities (evidence level IV) or extrapolated from level I or II evidence. This grading indicates that directly applicable clinical studies of good quality are absent or not readily available.	
UG	Legislative or statutory requirements	M	This grading indicates that implementation of this recommendation	

		is a statutory requirement, or is required by a regulatory body (e.g. CQC, GMC)
	GPP	Recommended good practice based on the clinical experience of the CDG.

Adapted from Eccles M, Mason J. How to develop cost-conscious guidelines. *Health Technology* Assessment 2001;5(16) and Mann T. Clinical guidelines: using clinical guidelines to improve patient care within the NHS. Department of *Health*, London 1996.

Strengths and limitations of body of evidence

Most of the published evidence on perioperative care anaesthesia services is descriptive. There are publications describing aspects of this process based on expert opinion.

The limitations of the evidence are:

- the 'unmeasurables' (attitudes, behaviour, motivation, leadership, teamwork)
- few randomised controlled trials (RCTs); studies frequently use mixed populations of emergency and elective patients, or all emergency patients grouped together despite different underlying diagnoses
- papers often examine a single intervention within complex system or bundle
- papers are often examining small numbers and/or patients from a single centre
- poor use of outcome measures, frequently concentrating on easily measured short-term outcomes which are not patient centred
- generally, a paucity of long-term follow up
- there is no standard definition used of 'high risk'
- use of different risk-scoring systems
- decrease in outcome over time and geography when 'good papers' are used in quality improvement programmes
- application of international studies in systems with either more or less resources than the UK into NHS practice
- older studies may no longer be applicable within the NHS
- very few studies included any analysis of financial implications
- evidence was mainly based on literature graded III and IV.

Methods used to arrive at recommendations

Recommendations were initially drafted based on the evidence by the authors for the chapter. These were discussed with the CDG, and comments were received both on the content and the practicality of the recommendations. The level of evidence that was the basis for each recommendation was graded according to a grading system, and the recommendation was then graded taking into account the strength of the evidence and the clinical importance using a recommendations criteria form. Recommendations were worded using the following system of categorisation:

Strength	Type of evidence	Wording
Mandatory	The evidence supporting the recommendation includes at least one with an 'M' grading	Wording should reflect the mandatory nature of the recommendation i.e. 'must'
Strong	Confidence that for the vast majority of people, the action will do more good than harm (or more harm than good)	Wording should be clearly directive 'should' or 'should not'
Weak	The action will do more good than harm for most patients, but may include caveats on the quality or size of evidence base or patient preferences	Wording should include 'should be considered'
Aspirational	While there is some evidence that implementation of the recommendation could improve patient care, either the evidence or the improvement is not proven or substantial	Wording should include 'could'
Equipoise	There is no current evidence on this recommendation's effect on patient care	Wording should include 'there is no evidence of this recommendation's effect on patient care'

Consultation

The chapter has undergone several rounds of consultation. The multidisciplinary CDG formed the first part of the consultation process. The authors and GPAS Editorial board identified key stakeholder groups. Where stakeholders are represented by an association or other medical college, they were asked to nominate delegates to join the CDG. The GPAS Chapter Development Process Document (available on request) explains the recruitment process for those CDG members who were not directly nominated. The CDG members were involved in drafting the recommendations, and were provided with an opportunity to comment on all subsequent drafts of the chapter.

The chapter underwent peer review. Peer reviewers were identified by the GPAS Editorial Board or Professional Standards Committee (PSC). Nominees were either anaesthetists of consultant grade

or were nominated by a key stakeholder group. Nominees had not had any involvement in the development of GPAS to date and were asked to comment upon a late draft of the chapter.

Following peer review, the chapter was reviewed by the College's PSC and the College's Lay Committee. Comments from all groups were considered and incorporated into a consultation draft.

The consultation draft of this chapter was circulated for public consultation from 17 November 2021 to 15 December 2021. As well as being made available on the College's website and promoted via Twitter and the President's newsletter to members, the draft was also circulated to all key stakeholder groups identified by the authors and the College. A list of organisations contacted by the College is available from the GPAS team at the College: <u>GPAS@rcoa.ac.uk</u>.

The editorial independence of GPAS

The development of GPAS is wholly funded by the Royal College of Anaesthetists. However, only the GPAS technical team and the GPAS researcher are paid directly by the College for their work on GPAS: the GPAS Editors' employing organisation receives 2 programmed activities (PA) backfill funding. All funding decisions by the College are made by the chief executive officer, in collaboration with the senior management team and College Council.

The authors of the chapters are all fellows of the Royal College of Anaesthetists. Members of College Council cannot act as chair of any CDG, as this individual has the deciding vote under the consensus method of decision making used in the chapters. Where College Council members have been involved in chapter development, this has been declared and recorded.

All persons involved in the development of GPAS are required to declare any pecuniary or nonpecuniary conflict of interest, in line with the GPAS conflict of interest policy as described in the GPAS Chapter Development Process Document (available on request). Any conflicts of interest are managed on a case-by-case basis to maintain the transparency and impartiality of the GPAS document. The conflicts, and the way they were managed, are outlined at the beginning of the chapter.

The role of the GPAS Editorial Board and CQRB

The overall development of the entire GPAS document is overseen by the CQRB of the Royal College of Anaesthetists, which includes representatives from all grades of anaesthetist and from clinical directors, and which also has PatientsVoices@RCoA representation.

Responsibility for managing the scope of the document and providing clinical oversight to the project technical team is delegated by the CQRB to the GPAS Editorial Board, which includes individuals responsible for the various internal stakeholders (see above for membership). On the inclusion/exclusion of specific recommendations within each chapter, the Editorial Board can only provide advice to the authors. In the event of disagreement between the authors, the majority rules consensus method is used, with the GPAS Editor holding the deciding vote.

Both of these groups, along with the PatientsVoices@RCoA committee, review each chapter and provide comment prior to public consultation and are responsible for signoff before final publication. In the event of disagreement, consensus is reached using the majority rules consensus method, with the chair of CQRB holding the deciding vote.

Updating these guidelines

This chapter will be updated for republication in January 2025.

Guidelines will be updated on an annual basis. The researcher will conduct the literature search again using the same search strategy to uncover any new evidence and members of the public will be able to submit new evidence to the GPAS project team. Where new evidence is uncovered, the lead author will decide whether the recommendations that were originally made are still valid in light of this new evidence.

If new evidence contradicts or strengthens existing recommendations, the authors decide whether or not to involve the remainder of the CDG in revising the recommendations accordingly.

If new evidence agrees with existing recommendations, then a reference may be added but no further action is required.

If there is no new evidence then no action is required.

This chapter is due to be fully reviewed for publication in January 2027.

Every five years guidance will be submitted to a full review involving reconvening the CDG (or appointment of a new, appropriately qualified CDG), and the process described in the methodology section of this chapter begins again.



Royal College of Anaesthetists, Churchill House, 35 Red Lion Square, London WC1R 4SG 020 7092 1500 | www.rcoa.ac.uk/gpas | GPAS@rcoa.ac.uk

© Royal College of Anaesthetists (RCoA)